SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 10055 6464

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK
Not to be taken from the Library

3 1223 10055 6464

FILE NO. 62-80-7 ORDINANCE NO. 401-80

AUTHORIZING CALL FOR BIDS TO LEASE MOCCASIN STORE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402 of the City Charter and, in accordance with Public Utilities Commission Resolution No.80-0198, the Director of Property on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, as Lessor is hereby authorized to arrange for the leasing of the following property to the highest responsible bidder.

PARCEL 1: Moccasin Grocery Store, Moccasin, Tuolumne County, CA

Section 2. Said lease shall be for a period of five years with two, five year options, for the purpose of operating a grocery store and Post Office.

Section 3. Bids shall be on the basis of a monthly minimum against a percentage of gross sales, whichever is greater, for each month. The lease shall be awarded by the Director of Property to the highest responsible bidder, subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors, and the form of said lease shall be approved by the City Attorney.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

GH Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

GH Boeman Clerk

62-80-7
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 65-80 -12 ORD. ANCE NO. 402-80

APPROVING AMENDMENT TO LEASE BETWEEN JOHN PFLUEGER, MIKE MC CORMAC AND DICK BECHELLI, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY, AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the amendment to the Lease between John Pflueger, Mike McCormac and Dick Bechelli, jointly and severally, and the City and County of San Francisco, operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission, a copy of which amendment is contained in the Board of Supervisors' file number 65-80-12.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD
G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD
I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
G.H. Boreman Clerk

65-80-12
File No.
SEP 5 1980
Approved
Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/ordinance1980sanf_3

FILE NO. 65-80-13 ORDINANCE NO. 403-80

APPROVING THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE LEASE DATED DECEMBER 3, 1979, MADE BETWEEN JOHN PFLUEGER, MIKE MC CORMAC AND DICK BECHELLI, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY, AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the assignment of the lease dated December 3, 1979, made between John Pflueger, Mike McCormac and Dick Bechelli, jointly and severally, and the City and County of San Francisco operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission, a copy of which assignment is contained in the Board of Supervisors' file number 65-80-13.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

65-80-13
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 65-80-14 ORDINANCE NO. 404-80

APPROVING THE LEASE BETWEEN EMBARCADERO ENTERPRISES, INC. AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402.1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the lease between Embarcadero Enterprises Inc., a California Corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission. A copy of said lease is contained in Board of Supervisors' file number 65-80-14.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 26 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.W. Bowman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.W. Bowman Clerk

65-80-14 SEP 5 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 83-80-5 ORDINANCE NO. 405-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER I, ARTICLE III OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (BUILDING CODE) TO ESTABLISH TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR MAKING HARDSHIP LOANS AVAILABLE FROM CODE ENFORCEMENT AND REHABILITATION FUND.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter I, Article III of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding Section 203.2.A thereto, to read as follows:

Sec. 203.2.A Terms and Conditions of Hardship Loans

The Director may make a hardship loan to the owner occupant of a one or two unit dwelling to correct any condition which the Director has found to be an imminent and substantial life hazard, provided that the owner provides the Director with evidence that he or she

a) has title to the property and is an occupant thereof;

b) is of low or moderate income; i.e., 120% of the median income for San Francisco households of comparable size;

c) is unable to obtain comparable financing; and

d) has been granted a moratorium to correct any conditions which do not constitute a life hazard by the Abatement Appeals Board pursuant to Section 203.1.F.

The loans are interest-free, deferred-payment, due and payable upon sale or transfer of the property or termination of owners occupancy. The maximum amount of the loan is $7,500 and shall be secured by a deed of trust naming the City as beneficiary. Loan repayment will be to the Code Enforcement and Rahabilitation Fund. The Director shall have authority to establish rules and

///

procedures for the implementation of this program.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By [signature]
Director of Public Works and Clean Water Program

APPROVED:

[signature]
Chief Administrative Officer

jj
0129b

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy,~~ Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

83-80-5
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

ORDINANCE DESIGNATING LANDMARK

FILE NO. 90-80-1 ORDINANCE NO. 406-80

DESIGNATING St. Paulus Lutheran Church AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that St. Paulus Lutheran Church located at Eddy & Gough Sts. , being Lot 10,10A,& 11 in Assessor's Block 744 , has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, St. Paulus Lutheran Church is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8505 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-1.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE ACHOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David Greenwich
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Ral Y. Okamoto
Ral Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

90-80-1
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-2 ORDINANCE NO. 407-80

DESIGNATING B'nai David Temple AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that B'nai David Temple located at 3535 - 19th St., being Lot 63 in Assessor's Block 3597, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, B'nai David Temple is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8561 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-2.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David Kroopnick
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Rai Y. Okamoto
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

90-80-2
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-3. ORDINANCE NO. 408-80

DESIGNATING Health Sciences Library AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Health Sciences Library located at 2395 Sacramento St., being Lot 16 in Assessor's Block 637, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Health Sciences Library is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8587 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-3.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David J. Kronick
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Rai Y. Okamoto
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

90-80-3
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-4. ORDINANCE NO. 409-80

DESIGNATING Chambers Mansion AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Chambers Mansion located at 2220 Sacramento St., being Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 627, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Chambers Mansion is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8554 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-4.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David J. Kreeger
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Rai Y. Okamoto
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

90-80-4
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-5 ORDINANCE NO. 410-80

DESIGNATING St. Joseph's Catholic Church AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that St. Joseph's Catholic Church located at 1401 Howard St., being Lot 35 in Assessor's Block 3517, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, St. Joseph's Catholic Church is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8591 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-5.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By [signature]
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 2 5 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy,~~ Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

90-80-5
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-6. ORDINANCE NO. 411-80

DESIGNATING Rothschild House AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Rothschild House located at 964 Eddy St., being Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 737, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Rothschild House is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8504 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-6.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David J. Kreeprich
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Rai Y. Okamoto
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

90-80-6
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-7. ORDINANCE NO. 412-80

DESIGNATING Beltline Railroad Roundhouse AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Beltline Railroad Roundhouse located at Lombard and Sansome Sts. and The Embarcadero, being Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 58, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Beltline Railroad Roundhouse is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8560 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-7.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David L. Greenwich
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Rai Y. Okamoto
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Foerman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foerman Clerk

90-80-7 File No.

SEP 5 1980 Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-9 ORDINANCE NO. 413-80

DESIGNATING Hammersmith Building AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Hammersmith Building located at 301-303 Sutter St., being Lot 1 in Assessor's Block 294, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Hammersmith Building is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8590 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-9.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By [signature]
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors. RENNE WARD

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors. HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

90-80-9
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-10. ORDINANCE NO. 414-80

DESIGNATING Julius' Castle AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Julius' Castle located at 302-04 Greenwich St., being Lot 4 & 5 in Assessor's Block 79, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Julius' Castle is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8592 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-10.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By [signature]
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

90-80-10
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 90-80-11. ORDINANCE NO. 415-80

DESIGNATING San Francisco Mining Exchange AS A LANDMARK

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that San Francisco Mining Exchange located at 350 Bush St., being Lot 3 in Assessor's Block 269, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, San Francisco Mining Exchange is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8578 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-11.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
CITY ATTORNEY

By David J. Kreguich
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By Rai Y. Okamoto
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 2 5 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 2 5 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, ~~Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

Noes: Supervisors KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy,~~ Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

90-80-11
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Bruston
Mayor

FILE NO. 80-2037 ORDINANCE NO. 416-80

AUTHORIZING SALE OF SURPLUS CITY-OWNED PROPERTY RIGHTS LOCATED IN TUOLUMNE COUNTY

Be It Ordained by The People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with Public Utilities Commission Resolution No. 80-0267, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest and necessity requires the sale of an easement for ingress-egress located on a portion of Hetch Hetchy Parcel No. 403, located in Tuolumne County.

Section 2. The subject property is shown on Drawing No. C-2035, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Due to the location of the subject property, these easement rights are of use only by the westerly adjoining property owner, and the easement will be sold directly to said adjoining owner.

Section 3. Said City property rights are no longer required for municipal purposes and the sale of said easement will not affect the Master Plan.

Section 4. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the City Charter, the Director of Property has made an appraisal of the fair market value of said property rights and has determined the value to be $2,000.00. The adjoining property owner has executed a contract to purchase said property rights at the full appraised value and has deposited $200.00 with the City in connection with their offer.

Section 5. The contract executed by the adjoining owner is hereby accepted and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute, and the Director of Property shall deliver an easement deed conveying the said property rights upon receipt of the full purchase price.

FORM APPROVED:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Utilities General Counsel

APPROVED:

Wallace Wortman
Director of Property

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
General Manager, Hetch Hetchy

[signature]
General Manager
Public Utilities Commission

Per P.U.C. Resolution No. 80-0267

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 2 5 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

96-80-7
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILE NO. 97-80 ORDINANCE NO. 417-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, ARTICLE 9, OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE) BY REPEALING SECTION 160.01 THEREOF PROVIDING FOR HEARINGS WITHIN 24 HOURS, AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE POSTING OF NOTICE OF RIGHTS OF OWNERS OR OTHER PERSONS LAWFULLY ENTITLED TO POSSESSION OF TOWED VEHICLES AT TOW GARAGE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by amending Article 9 thereof as follows:

Section 160.01 is repealed.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt~~, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

97-80 SEP 5 1980
File No. Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 183-80-1 ORDINANCE NO. 418-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (TRAFFIC CODE), BY ADDING SECTIONS 176.~~27~~28 AND 176.~~28~~29 THERETO, ESTABLISHING SPEED LIMITS ON LAKE MERCED BOULEVARD, AND SUNNYDALE AVENUES.

co 8/11/80

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI, San Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code) is hereby amended by adding Sections 176.27 and 176.28 thereto, reading as follows:

co 8/11/80

SEC. 176.~~27~~28. A prima facie speed limit of 35 miles per hour is hereby established on Lake Merced Boulevard between Sunset Boulevard and Skyline Boulevard.

co 8/11/80

SEC. 176.~~28~~29. A prima facie speed limit of 35 miles per hour is hereby established on Sunnydale Avenue between Persia Avenue and the west boundary of the McLaren Municipal Golf Course.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
By Thomas A. Tomney Jr.
Deputy City Attorney

DESCRIPTION APPROVED:
Frank L. Hoffman Jr.
Deputy Director for Engineering

RECOMMENDED:
Jeffrey Lee
Director of Public Works

APPROVED:
Kevil R Smith
for Chief Administrative Officer

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt~~, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

183-80-1 SEP 5 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Smith
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 216-80 ORDINANCE NO. 419-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER XI (TRAFFIC CODE), SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE, BY AMENDING SECTION 63(A) THERETO, RELATING TO COMMERCIAL VEHICLES; PARKING PROHIBITED IN CERTAIN USE DISTRICTS BETWEEN 2:00 A.M. AND 6:00 A.M.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter XI (Traffic Code), San Francisco Municipal Code, is hereby amended by amending Section 63(A) thereto, reading as follows:

SEC. 63(A). Commercial Vehicles: Parking Prohibited in Certain Use Districts between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. It shall be unlawful for the operator of any motor truck, truck tractor, road tractor, trailer, delivery wagon or any vehicle with a gross weight of over 10,000 pounds and used for commercial purposes to park or leave standing any such vehicle in any street in an R-1-D, R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, R-5, or P Use District between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. This provision shall not apply to vehicles of public utilities and construction equipment engaged in repair or construction work at or near the location at which the vehicle is parked.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G. A. Breeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt~~, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. A. Breeman Clerk

216-80
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 313-80 ORDINANCE NO. 420-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER IV (FIRE CODE) OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE, BY AMENDING SECTIONS 20.12 AND 20.15 THEREOF AND ADDING ARTICLE 28 THERETO, RELATING TO SAFETY STANDARDS FOR TENTS AND MEMBRANE STRUCTURES AND TO THE PROVISION OF FIRE PROTECTION SERVICES THROUGH DETAIL OF FIRE DEPARTMENT MEMBERS AND METHOD OF PAYMENT AND COMPENSATION THEREFOR.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter IV, San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 20.15 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 20.15. Tents and Membrane Structures. Any tent or membrane structure, as defined by this Code, erected, occupied, used or intended to be used by ten (10) or more persons gathered therein or thereunder for any purpose shall conform to the provisions of Article 28 of this Code.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter IV, San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by adding Article 28 thereto, reading as follows:

Sec. 28.01. Scope. This Article shall apply to any tent or membrane structure as defined by this Article, which is designed, used, or intended to be used by ten (10) or more persons gathered therein or thereunder for any purpose; provided,

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

however, that this Article shall not apply to tents, awnings, or other fabric enclosures used to cover private swimming pools and similar facilities on the premises of private one- and two-family dwellings or erected and used within a soundstage or other similar structural enclosure which is equipped with an overhead automatic sprinkler system.

Sec. 28.02. Definitions.

(a) "Membrane Structure" shall mean any structure the shape of which either is maintained by air pressurization of cells or tubes to form a barrel vault over the usable area or is attained by air pressure directed against the skin of the structure.

(b) "Noncombustible material" shall mean material of which no part will ignite and burn when subjected to fire.

(c) "Tent" shall mean any structure, enclosure, canopy, or shelter constructed of fabric or pliable material which derives it support from mechanical means, including, but not limited to, poles, ropes, cables, and stakes.

Sec. 28.03. Permit Required. (a) No person shall erect or maintain any tent or membrane structure covering an area in excess of two hundred (200) square feet or having an occupant load of ten (10) or more persons without first obtaining a permit therefor from the Fire Department; provided, that a permit shall not be required for the erection or maintenance of tents used exclusively for camping purposes. (b) All applications for permits required by this Section shall state the owner of the tent or membrane structure for which the permit is sought. (c) A

separate permit shall be obtained for each tent or membrane structure for which permission is sought.

Sec. 28.04. Parking of Vehicles. Any vehicle that is necessary to the operations conducted in any tent or membrane structure regulated by this Article shall be parked not less than twenty (20) feet from such tent or membrane structure, and all other vehicles shall be parked not less than one hundred (100) feet from any such tent or membrane structure; provided, however, that this section shall not apply to vehicles parked upon a public street.

Sec. 28.05. Location of Tents and Membrane Structures.

(a) Any tent or membrane structure having a gross floor area of fifteen hundred (1,500) square feet or less shall be located not less than ten (10) feet from any property line or structure.

(b) Any tent or membrane structure having a gross floor area in excess of fifteen hundred (1,500) square feet but less than fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet shall be located not less than thirty (30) feet from any other tent or membrane structure or from any property line or any other structure.

(c) Any tent or membrane structure having a gross floor area of fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet or more shall be located not less than fifty (50) feet from any other tent or membrane structure or from any property line or any other structure.

(d) All tents and membrane structures shall be so arranged

as to provide exits independent of each other; provided, that tents and membrane structures may be joined together by means of corridors if such corridors are open and unobstructed to the sky. Openings not less than fifteen (15) feet in width shall be provided on each side of such corridors and directly opposite each other. Such openings shall be left entirely open and clear of any obstruction.

(e) An unobstructed passageway not less than six (6) feet in width and free from guy ropes and any other obstruction shall be maintained on all sides of any tent or membrane structure having a gross floor area of more than fifteen hundred (1,500) square feet, but less than fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet, and an occupant load of one thousand or fewer persons. If the gross floor area exceeds fifteen thousand (15,000) square feet of if the seating capacity exceeds one thousand (1,000) persons, such passageway shall be not less than ten (10) feet in clear width.

(f) Nothwithstanding any other provision of this Code, the Chief of Division may approve the location of a tent or membrane structure which does not meet the distance standards of subsections (a), (b) and (c) of this Section if, and only if, the Chief of Division determines that the distance approved will not result in the creation of, or increase in, any fire hazard.

The Chief of Division may condition such approval on the provision of such additional fire protection equipment, devices or activities as he may deem necessary to provide adequate fire

safety.

(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 28.05, the Chief of Division may approve the erection and maintenance of a tent or membrane structure in a building, on a building or within an inner courtyard of a building if, and only if, the Chief of Division determines that the use of such tent or membrane structure will not create or increase any fire hazard. The Chief of Division may condition such approval on the provision of such additional fire protection equipment, devices or activities as he may deem necessary to provide adequate fire safety.

Sec. 28.06. Structural Requirements, Tents. All tents shall be adequately guyed, supported and braced in a manner sufficient to withstand a wind pressure or suction of ten (10) pounds per square foot. All poles and their supporting guys, stays, stakes, fastenings, and similar supporting members or devices shall be of sufficieht strength and shall be so attached as to resist wind pressure of twenty (20) pounds per square foot of projected area of the tent. No tent or membrane structure shall be constructed or erected unless and until certification of compliance with the provisions of this Section by a structural, civil or other qualified registered engineer is submitted to the Chief of Division.

Sec. 28.07. Structural Requirements, Membrane Structures. All membrane structures shall be designed by an engineer or architect licensed to practice as such by the State of California

and shall be constructed and shall be equipped with inflation systems and blower equipment in conformity with the provisions of California Administrative Code, Title 24, Part 2, Chapter 2-55, Article 4, related to membrane (air-supported and air-inflated) structures.

Sec. 28.08. Flame-retardant Treatment.

(a) All tents shall be constructed of a flame retardant material approved and listed by the State Fire Marshal for exterior use or shall be treated with a flame retardant in conformity with the provisions of California Administrative Code, Title 19, Chapter 1, Subchapter 8, related to flame resistant standards for tents.

(b) All membrane structures shall be either constructed of a noncumbustible material, as defined by this Code, or treated with a flame-retardant in conformity with the provisions of California Administrative Code, Title 24, chapter 2-55.

(c) Flame-retardant treatment of tents and membrane structures shall be repeated in conformity with the schedule recommended by the flame-retardant manufacturer; provided, that, without regard to the treatment schedule recommended by the flame-retardant manufacturer, in no case shall the period between such treatments exceed five (5) years.

(d) In any case where a tent or membrane structure is treated with a flame retardant, a declaration signed under penalty of perjury shall be executed by the flame-retardant applicator and shall be retained at the premises on which any

tent or membrane structure is located. Such declaration shall be made available to the Chief of Division upon request. Such declaration shall state all of the following information:

1. Date on which the tent or membrane structure fabric or material was last treated with a flame-retardant,

2. Trade or generic name of the flame-retardant chemical used,

3. Name of the person or firm treating the fabric or material, and

(e) All bunting and other flammable decorations or effects and any sawdust used on floors or passageways in any tent or membrance structure shall be treated with an approved flame-retardant and shall be maintained in a flame-retardant condition at all times.

Sec. 28.09. Smoking, Fireworks, Open Flames, Balloons, etc.

(a) Smoking is hereby prohibited in any tent or membrane structure, and no holder of any permit required by this Article shall permit smoking in any tent or membrane structure. Approved "NO SMOKING" signs shall be conspicuously posted in approved locations.

(b) No fireworks, open flame or other device emitting flame or fire shall be used in, or immediately adjacent, to any tent or membrane structure while such tent or structure is open to the public; provided, that the Chief of Division may approve such use if, and only if, he determines that it will not create or increase any fire hazard. The Chief of Division may condition

approval in the provision of such additional fire protection equipment, devices or activities as he may deem necessary to provide adequate fire safety.

(c) No toy balloon or other device that is inflated with any such poisonous, explosive, or flammable gas nor any gas shall be permitted in, or on the premises adjacent to, any tent or membrane structure.

Sec. 28.10. Fire Extinguishers and Other Fire-Protection Equipment.

(a) At least one 2A 10BC type extinguisher shall be provided in each tent or membrane structure. In any tent or membrane structure having a gross floor area in excess of two thousand (2,000) square feet, one additional 2A 10BC type extinguisher shall be provided for each additional two thousand (2,000) square feet or fraction thereof.

(b) At least one 10BC type fire extinguisher shall be provided for each kitchen, mess hall, power generator or transformer.

(c) Fire hose lines, water supplies and other auxiliary fire equipment shall be maintained at the site of any tent or membrane structure in such numbers and sizes as the Chief of Division determines are necessary to prevent the creation of or increase in any fire hazard.

Sec. 28.11. Fire Protection Services. The owner, lessee, manager or other person having control or management of any property on which a tent or membrane structure is located shall

arrange with the Chief of Department, and shall pay, for the services of members of the Fire Department in such numbers as the Chief of Department determines are necessary or proper to prevent the creation of or increase in any fire hazard related to the use or occupancy of any such tent or structure. Such services shall be provided in conformity with the provisions of subsections (a) through (j), inclusive, of Section 20.12 of this Code.

Sec. 28.12. Exits.

(a) Exits shall be provided in all tents and membrane structures in conformity with the following table:

Table 28.12

Required Number and Width of Exits in Tents and Membrane Structures

Capacity (Number of Persons)	Minimum Number of Exits	Minimum Clear Width of Each Exit
10 to 49	2	3 feet
50 to 299	2	5 feet
300 to 599	3	5 feet
600 to 999	4	6 feet
1,000 to 1,999	5	8 feet
2,000 or more	6	8 feet plus 1 foot for each 50 persons in excess of 2,000 persons.

(b) Exits shall be spaced at approximately equal intervals around the perimeter of any tent or membrane structure and shall be so located that no point within such tent or structure is more than one hundred (100) feet from an exit.

(c) A smooth surfaced passageway free and clear of any steps or any obstruction whatsoever and equal in width to the

exits it serves shall be provided from the beginning of each exit to a public way.

Sec. 28.13. Maintenance Aisles and of Exit Ways. The required minimum clear width of all exits and all aisles and passageways shall be maintained in an unobstructed condition at all times.

Sec. 28.14. Marking of Exits. All exits required by Section 28.12 of this Article shall be identified by a sign stating, "FIRE EXIT." Such signs shall conform to the sign specifications set forth in Section 23.11(b) of this Code.

Sec. 28.15. Exit Illumination. All exits and means of egress in any tent or membrane structure shall be illuminated at all times with light having an intensity of not less than one foot candle at floor level.

Sec. 28.16. Occupant Load. The number of occupants in any tent or membrane structure shall not exceed the capacity permitted by the provisions of the Building Code related to occupant load.

Sec. 28.17. Seating Arrangements. The seating arrangements in all tents or membrane structures shall conform to the provisions of the Building Code related to design and construction of seating in public assemblies.

Sec. 28.18. Heating and Cooking Equipment.

(a) Any heating equipment installed in any tent or membrane structure shall conform to the provisions of the Building Code and shall be approved by the Chief of Division prior to

installation.

(b) All cooking equipment shall be vented to the outside air and shall conform to the provisions of the Building Code related to grease ducts and hoods. All such equipment shall be approved by the Chief of Division prior to installation.

(c) No heating or cooking apparatus shall be located in any exit, aisle, passageway, or near any combustible material or object within any tent or membrane structure.

Sec. 28.19. Storage of Flammable Liquids and LPG Prohibited. No flammable liquid or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) in any quantity shall be stored in any tent or membrane structure or within fifty (50) feet therefrom.

Sec. 28.20. Housekeeping.

(a) All weeds and combustible vegetation shall be removed from all areas within fifty (50) feet of any tent or membrane structure.

(b) No hay, straw, trash or other combustible material shall be stored less than fifty (50) feet from any tent or membrane structure; provided, that the Chief of Division may approve such storage if, and only if, he determines that such storage will not create or increase any fire hazard.

(c) Smoking is hereby prohibited in any area within fifty (50) feet from any tent or membrane structure and within which storage of hay, straw, trash or other combustible material is approved by the Chief of Division. Approved "NO SMOKING" signs shall be conspicuously posted in approved locations within such

areas.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter IV, San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 20.12 thereof, to read as follows:

Sec. 20.12. Fire Department Detail

(a) The Chief of Department may require a detail of one (1) or more members of the Fire Department to be present in any theatre, motion picture theatre, public assembly, open air assembly, or any place where people congregate for such period of time as he may deem necessary or proper in the interest of public safety.

(b) Any person, corporation, firm or organization desiring members of the Fire Department for fire protection or related services in any theatre, motion picture theatre, public assembly, open air assembly, or in any place where people congregate, may request the Chief of Department to authorize such members to perform such services. If the Chief of Department approves such request, he may detail such members for such services in the number he determines to be necessary to perform such services.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Code, the owner, lessee, manager or other person having control or management of any property used or maintained as a theatre, motion picture theatre, public assembly, open air assembly, or any place where people congregate, shall arrange with the Chief of Department for the services of one (1) or more members of the Fire Department and shall pay for such services, as required and

approved by the Chief of Department in accordance with the provisions of this Section, to be on duty at such place whenever, in the opinion of the Chief of Department, such services are necessary or proper to prevent the creation of, or increase in, any fire hazard related to conditions which include, but are not limited to the number of persons so assembled or congregated, the degree of fire danger inherent in the performance, exhibition, display, contest, or activity conducted therein, the service or use of acloholic beverages, or the nature of the building or area where such performance, exhibition, display, contest, or activity is conducted.

(d) Any member of the Fire Department detailed pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall be subject to the orders of the Chief of Department at all times when so detailed and shall be in uniform and remain on duty during the times such places are open to the public or such activity is being conducted. Prior to any performance or the start of any activity, such detailed members shall inspect all required fire equipment provided to see that it is in proper place and in good working order. Such detailed members shall keep diligent watch for fires at all times during which such place is open to the public or such activity is being conducted and shall take prompt measures for extinguishment of any fire that may occur. No such detailed member shall be required or permitted, while on duty pursuant to this Section, to perform any duties other than those specified herein; provided, however, that the Chief of Department may adopt additional rules

or regulations pertaining to the duties of such detailed members to augment the regulations set forth herein.

(e) It shall be the duty of members of the Police Department to enforce the provisions of this Article and the provisions of Article 28 of this Code at any and all times. The members of the Fire Department detailed pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall immediately report to the Police Department any violation of this Article or of Article 28 of this Code or of any law or ordinance pertaining to the public safety that may come to their attention.

(f) Upon receiving a request pursuant to subsection (b) of this Section, or upon determining that fire protection services are required pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section, or upon receiving notice of the filing of a permit application for the construction or erection of a tent or membrane structure pursuant to Article 28 of this Code, the Chief of Department shall make an estimate of the cost of the services so requested or required. Such estimate shall be computed at straight time rates paid or payable to the Fire Department at the time they are to perform such services, plus twenty-two and one-half per cent (22.5%) thereof for administrative overhead. The person, corporation, firm or organization requesting fire protection or related services pursuant to subsection (b) of this Section and the owner, lessee, manager or other person required to use the services of a member or members of the Fire Department pursuant to the provisions of subsection (c) of this Section or of Article

28 of this Code shall deposit with the Fire Department the amount of such estimate prior to the receipt of the services requested or required pursuant to this Section. The Fire Department shall deposit with the treasurer such sums received in a special account designated public facilities overtime account; provided, however, that the amount representing twenty-two and one-half per cent (22.5%) shall be deposited to the credit of general fund unappropriated balance of funds. Nothwitstanding any other provision of this Section, any services performed pursuant to subsection (b) or subsection (c) of this Section shall be compensated upon the basis of a minimum of four (4) hours per Fire Department member per assignment.

(g) In the event that the cost of the services performed or furnished pursuant to this Section amounts to more than the amount deposited with the Fire Department, the person, corporation, firm, organization, owner, lessee or manager concerned shall be immediately notified and required to make an additional deposit with the Fire Department of an amount sufficient to complete the cost of such services as estimated by the Chief of Department, which sum when paid shall be deposited as provided under subsection (f) of this Section. No further services shall be performed or furnished until such additional deposit is made with the Fire Department.

(h) In the event that the cost of the services performed or furnished pursuant to this Section is less than the amount deposited with the Fire Department, the surplus or excess

existing in the amount deposited over the total actual cost of such services shall be credited on account of such continued services as may be required or, when the services have been completely performed, be refunded to the person, corporation, firm, organization, owner, lessee or manager by whom such funds were originally deposited.

(i) For services performed pursuant to subsection (b) or subsection (c) of this Section in excess of the basic week, Fire Department members shall be compensated on the basis of straight time in accordance with the ratio which said excess bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor pursuant to sections 3.542 and 8.405 of the San Francisco Charter. No member of the Fire Department detailed to perform services pursuant to subsection (b) or subsection (c) of this Section shall be entitled to any additional overtime benefits over or above those provided herein.

(j) Any person, corporation, firm or organization requesting and receiving services of a member or members of the Fire Department pursuant to subsection (b) of the Section and any owner, lessee, manager or other person using the services of a member or members of the Fire Department pursuant to the provisions of subsection (c) of this Section shall indemnify, hold harmless and defend the City and County of San Francisco, the Fire Department, all the officers, agents and employees of either, from and against all liability, judgments or claims for death or personal or bodily injuries or property damage caused by

or allegedly caused by or arising out of any act or omission of such members in the rendering of such services.

Section 4. Severability.

(a) If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, or other competent agency, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective.

(b) If the application of any provision or provisions of this Ordinance to any lot, building, structure, parcel of land, activity or operation is found to be invalid or ineffective in whole or in part by any court of competent jurisdiction, or other competent agency, the effect of such decision shall be limited to the property or situation immediately involved in the controversy, and the application of any such provision to other properties and situations shall not be affected.

(c) This Section shall apply to every provision of this Ordinance, as the Ordinance now exists and as it may exist in the

//

future, including all modifications thereof and additions and amendments thereto.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Diane L. Hermann
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

FIRE COMMISSION

By Raymond Connor

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari, Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI RENNE WARD

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

313-80
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER IV (FIRE CODE) OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE, BY AMENDING SECTION 20.17 AND 12.10 THEREOF AND BY ADDING SECTION 20.18 THERETO, RELATING TO CANDLES, OPEN FLAME ILLUMINATION DEVICES, FOOD HEATING DEVICES, FLAMING FOOD AND DRINKS, AND THE USE OF LIQUIFIED PETROLEUM GAS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter IV, San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 20.17 thereof, to read as follows:

Sec. 20.17. Candles and Open Flame Devices Regulated. No candle or similar lighting arrangement using an open flame shall used or placed in any restaurant, night club, bar or other place of public assemblage unless and until such candle or device has been approved by the Chief of Divison.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter IV, San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 20.18 thereto, reading as follows:

Sec. 20.18. Food Heating Devices.

(a) No food heating device, including, but not limited to, alcohol heaters, braziers, hibachis or similar devices shall be used at, or placed upon or adjacent to, any table in any restaurant, public place where food is served, or place where

//

//

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

alcoholic beverages are sold for consumption on the premises unless and until such device has been approved by the Chief of Division.

(b) All food heating devices described in subsection (a) of this Section and the service of all flaming foods or drinks in any restaurant, public place where food is served or place where alcoholic beverages are sold for consumption on the premises shall conform to all of the following requirements:

1. Where more than three (3) ounce of fuel is used in any food heating or open flame device, such fuel shall be of a jellied or semi-solid type. The total aggregate of flammable liquids shall not exceed three (3) ounces per chafing dish.

2. No food or drink shall be transported to or carried through any room or portion thereof while such food or drink is flaming.

3. No flaming food or drink shall be served which creates a flame exceeding twelve (12) inches in height. The pouring, ladling, or spooning of any burning liquid shall not exceed a height of twelve (12) inches. For purposes of this Section, the height of the flame or burning liquid shall be measured from the base of the pan or container from which the flaming food or drink is served.

4. Not more than one (1) table shall be served by any single pan or other container used for serving any flaming food or drink.

///

5. No pan or any other container used for serving any flaming food shall be less than one (1) inch in depth.

6. The total amount of liquid used in one (1) serving of any flaming drink shall not exceed one (1) ounce.

7. No flammable liquid shall be added to any food or drink while such food or drink is flaming.

8. Any person preparing or serving any flaming food or drink shall have a wet towel immediately available for emergency use.

(c) Liquified petroleum gas (LPG) shall not be used as a fuel for any food heating device in any restaurant or public place where food is served unless and until approval therefor has been obtained from the Chief of Division. Spare liquid petroleum gas cylinders shall not be stored in any area occupied by the public. All such cylinders shall be stored only in a location approved by the Chief of Division after he has determined that such location is sufficiently removed from any public area so as not to pose a danger to the public.

Section 3. Part II, Chapter IV, San Francisco Municipal Code (Fire Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 12.10 thereof, to read as follows:

Sec. 12.10. Certain uses permitted.

(a) LPG may be used in schools for experimental laboratories and for the teaching of a trade under the regulation of the Chief of Division.

///

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 1 1980

(b) LPG may be used in hospitals for teaching or treatment under the regulation of the Chief of Division.

(c) In new buildings that are under construction and are unoccupied, and in existing buildings that are being altered or repaired and are unoccupied, LPG may be used by plumbers, tinsmiths, electricians and other artisans, to operate approved torches, blowpipes or other portable LPG equipment used for heating, welding, cutting, soldering, brazing, lead smelting and other similar work necessary in construction and repair work; provided, that all LPG cylinders shall be in use and shall not be filled or stored inside the building. The capacity of such cylinders shall not exceed twenty-five (25) U.S. Gallons water capacity (nominal). The total amount of LPG shall not exceed twenty-five (25) U.S. Gallons water capacity (nominal) for each twenty-five hundred (2,500) square feet of floor area on any one (1) floor. The distance between any working cylinders shall not be less than fifty (50) feet. All working cylinders shall be located at least five (5) feet from any source of ignition.

(d) In buildings occupied by any of the occupancies enumerated in Section 12.09(a) of this Article, LPG may be used as provided in subsection (c) of this Section; provided, that only one (1) LPG cylinder shall be used and such cylinder shall be limited to five (5) U.S. Gallons water capacity (nominal); and further provided, that in buildings occupied as theaters, public assembly or schools, such use of LPG shall be prohibited while such theaters and public assembly buildings are open to the

public or the school buildings are in session. Such cylinder shall be removed from the building immediately upon the termination of the work involving the use of LPG or at the end of the working day, whichever is earlier.

(e) LPG may be used as a fuel for mobile vehicles such as lift-trucks, fork-lifts and similar industrial mobile equipment in warehouses and other industrial plants if, and only if, such mobile equipment is approved by the Chief of Division.

(f) LPG may be used as fuel for internal combustion engine units, if, and only if, such use is approved by the Chief of Division.

(g) LPG may be used for food heating devices in any restaurant or public place where food is served if, and only if, such use conforms to the provisions of Section 20.17 of this Code.

co
8/6/80

Section ~~1~~ 4. Severability.

(a) If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance or any part thereof is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, or other competent agency, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs,

///

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective.

(b) If the application of any provision or provisions of this Ordinance to any lot, building, structure, parcel of land, activity or operation is found to be invalid or ineffective in whole or in part by any court of competent jurisdiction, or other competent agency, the effect of such decision shall be limited to the property or situation immediately involved in the controversy, and the application of any such provision to other properties and situations shall not be affected.

(c) This Section shall apply to every provision of this Ordinance, as the Ordinance now exists and as it may exist in the future, including all modifications thereof and additions and amendments thereto.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST
City Attorney

By Diane L. Hermann
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

FIRE COMMISSION

By Raymond Conroy

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari, Renne,~~ Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI RENNE WARD
Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker, Ward.~~
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors HUTCH WALKER WARD
I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
Clerk

SEP 5 1980

SECOND DRAFT

FILE NO. 316-80 ORDINANCE NO. 422-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE) BY AMENDING ARTICLE 18 THEREOF, RELATING TO THE CHARGING OF FEES FOR FIREARMS TRAINING AT THE POLICE RANGE.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 18 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 1351.1 to read as follows:

SEC. 1351.1. FEES, TRAINING COURSES.

The Police Department is hereby authorized to charge a training fee of $42.00 per person to all persons other than San Francisco police officers and San Francisco police reserves who attend a course in the use of firearms at the Police Pistol Range.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 18, Section 1359 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended adding subsection (d) thereto so it reads as follows:

Sec. 1359. DISBURSEMENTS FROM POLICE RANGE FUND.

Money deposited in the Police Range Fund shall be disbursed in the following order:

(a) In the payment of taxes collected pursuant to Section 1354 of this Article.

(b) In the payment to the National Rifle Association or its order, of fees collected pursuant to Section 1358 of this Article.

(c) In the payment of purchases of trophies, medals and prizes and the payment of such incidental tournament costs or purchase of such training equipment as may be authorized by the Police Commission.

(d) In payment of police personnel and maintenance and other costs incurred in training persons in the use of firearms for which a fee is charged pursuant to Section 1351.1.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

7764b

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari, Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~
~~None Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI RENNE WARD
[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~
~~None Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors HUTCH WALKER WARD
I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
[signature] Clerk

316-80 File No.
SEP 5 1980 Approved
[signature] Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILE NO. 325-80-10.1

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Union Street Special Use District in that area of Union Street generally bounded by Van Ness Avenue and Steiner Street and on Fillmore Street between Union and Lombard Streets presently zoned C-2 (Community Business) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Union Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission February 28, 1980 by Resolution No. 8511 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 273-80.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
SEP 25 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
AUG 25 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors RENNE WARD

G.H. Bowman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari~~ Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI WALKER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Bowman Clerk

325-80-10.1
File No.

SEP 5 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ORDINANCE DESIGNATING LANDMARK

FILE NO. 90-80-8. ORDINANCE NO. 424-80

DESIGNATING Family Service Agency, 1010 Gough St. AS A LANDMARK PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 10 OF THE CITY PLANNING CODE.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Family Service Agency located at 1010 Gough St., being Lot 7 in Assessor's Block 737, has a special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a Landmark will be in furtherance of and in conformance with the purposes of Article 10 of the City Planning Code and the standards set forth therein.

(a) Designation. Pursuant to Section 1004 of the City Planning Code, Chapter II, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, Family Service Agency, 1010 Gough St. is hereby designated as a Landmark, this designation having been duly approved by Resolution No. 8503 of the City Planning Commission, which Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 90-80-8.

(b) Required Data. The descriptions of the location and boundaries of the Landmark site; of the characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation; and of the particular features that should be preserved; as included in the said Resolution, are hereby incorporated herein and made a part hereof as though fully set forth.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 2 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WALKER WARD

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

90-80-8
File No.

SEP 12 1980
Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Principal Librarian
Governmental Documents
Civic Center
San Francisco, Ca 94102

ORDINANCE NO. [illegible]

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Sacramento Street Special Use District in that area of Sacramento Street generally bounded by Lyon and Spruce Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Sacramento Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8648 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-14

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G. W. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP [illegible]

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. W. Boeman Clerk

36-80-14
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE
SCHOOL MONTEREY

OCT 1 1981

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

File No. 36-80-15 ORDINANCE NO. 1260-80

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Haight Street Special Use District in that area of Haight Street generally bounded by Central and Stanyan Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Haight Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8650 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-15.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

3680-15
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. [illegible]

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Castro Street-Eureka Valley Special Use District in that area of Castro and 18th Streets generally bounded by 17th, 19th, Diamond and Noe Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Castro Street-Eureka Valley Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8651 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-16.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By [signature]
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP [illegible]

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

36-80-16
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. 180-80

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Upper Fillmore Street Special Use District in that area of Upper Fillmore Street generally bounded by Bush and Jackson Streets presently zoned C-2 (Community Business) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Upper Fillmore Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8649 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-17.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

36-80-17
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. 1051-80

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Upper Market Street East Special Use District in that area of Upper Market Street generally bounded by Church and Valencia Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Upper Market Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8652 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-18.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

36-80-18
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Upper Market Street West Special Use District in that area of Market Street generally bounded by Castro and Church Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Upper Market Street RC-1 Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8653 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-19.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

36-80-19
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-80-20 ORDINANCE NO. 431-80

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the 24th Street-Noe Valley Special Use District in that area of 24th Street generally bounded by Army and 20th Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "24th Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8655 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-20.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 [illegible]

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

36-80-20
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. 722-80

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the 24th Street-Mission Special Use District in that area of 24th Street generally bounded by Valencia and Potrero Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "24th Street-Mission Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8654 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-21.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Bowman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Bowman Clerk

36-80-21
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

ADOPTING CHANGE IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Establishment of the Valencia Street Special Use District in that area of Valencia Street generally bounded by Army and 14th Streets presently zoned RC-1 (Residential Commercial Combined, Low Density) between Army and 20th, and zoned CM (Heavy Commercial) between 20th and 14th Streets as shown on the map marked Exhibit A and entitled "Valencia Street Special Use District Proposal", approved by the City Planning Commission July 17, 1980 by Resolution No. 8656 which map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, File No. 36-80-22.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the negative declaration for the project and concurs in that finding.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
for Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G. H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. H. Boreman Clerk

36-80-22
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-80-40 ORDINANCE NO. 427-80

RO #23

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CHARLES ALTAMIRANO AND RICARDO ALTAMIRANO AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $8,500.00.

Be it ordanied by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "Charles Altamirano and Ricardo Altamirano vs. City and County of San Francisco, et al.,"Superior Court Action No. 714-581, by the payment of $8,500.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: Kenneth J. Harrington
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138

John C Farrell
Controller

AUG 12 1980

RECOMMENDED:

James A Ryan D/C FOB
for CORNELIUS MURPHY, JR., Chief
San Francisco Police Department

APPROVED:

POLICE COMMISSION

By: Willie E. Fraser
Secretary

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

G H Boreman Clerk

45-80-40 SEP 19 1980
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 45-80-41 ORDINANCE NO. 735-80

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF HELENA GOODE V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UPON THE PAYMENT OF THE SUM OF $20,000.00.

Be it Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Francisco Superior Court Action No. 721-798, entitled "HELENA GOODE, Plaintiff, v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, defendant" by the payment of $20,000.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: EDWARD J. ROTHMAN
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DR. MERVYN SILVERMAN

RECOMMENDED:

MICHAEL HENNESSEY

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138

John C Farrell
Controller AUG 12 1980

APPROVED:

Kevil R. Smith
Chief Administrative Officer

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

G.H. Foreman Clerk

45-80-41 SEP 19 1980
File No. Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-80-3 ORDINANCE NO. 720 80

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AGAINST DOLORES PRESS AND FAITH CENTER BY ACCEPTING A JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND DISCHARGING TAX COLLECTOR FROM FURTHER RESPONSIBILITY FOR COLLECTING PENALTIES.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1: The Attorney for the Tax Collector is hereby authorized and directed to settle the controversy in San Francisco Superior Court Action Number 766201 entitled City and County of San Francisco vs Dolores Press and Faith Center by accepting the sum of Two Thousand Six Hundred Thirty-nine Dollars and Fifty-two cents ($2,639.52) and filing an authorization to enter judgment against Dolores Press and Faith Center in the amount of Sixteen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars ($16,500.00).

Section 2: Pursuant to the provisions of Section 2925 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, the San Francisco Tax Collector is hereby discharged from further responsibility for collecting the one (1%) percent per month penalties mandated by Section 2922 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code on the following unsecured personal property tax assessments:

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, ~~Ward~~

EXCUSED ~~Noes~~ Supervisor SILVER

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

EXCUSED: Supervisor SILVER

Absent: Supervisors KOPP ~~SILVER~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

46-80-3 File No.

SEP 19 1980 Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 62-80-5 ORDINANCE NO. 437-80

Approving Lease for establishing and operating a food concession at Golden Gate Park Music Concourse, Golden Gate Park Big Rec Baseball Fields, Golden Gate Park Childrens' Quarters, Golden Gate Park Golf Course, Golden Gate Park Eighth Avenue and Kennedy Drive, Marina Green and Special Events, San Francisco, California.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section I. That certain Lease between the Recreation and Park Commission, for and on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, and Messrs. Henry Hom and Eugene Pon, a partnership, for establishing and operating a food concession at Golden Gate Park Music Concourse, Golden Gate Park Big Rec Baseball Fields, Golden Gate Park Childrens' Quarters, Golden Gate Park Golf Course, Golden Gate Park Eighth Avenue and Kennedy Drive, Marina Green and Special Events, San Francisco, Ca., a copy of which Lease is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis~~, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS, KOPP, LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis~~, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS, LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

62-80-5 SEP 19 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 74-80-1 ORDINANCE NO. 1000

PROVIDING REVENUE AND LEVYING TAXES FOR CITY AND COUNTY PURPOSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1981.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the provisions of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco and of the laws of the State of California and in conformity therewith a tax is hereby levied for City and County purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1981 on all property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of Four and One Hundred Ninety Nine Thousand Six Hundred Thirty Five Millionths ($4.199635) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the secured assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum of Four and One Hundred Ninety Nine Thousand and Six Hundred Thirty Five Millionths ($4.199635) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation, as aforesaid, is hereby apportioned in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.400 of the Charter to the funds and accounts and for the purpose designated as follows:

For the General Fund to meet the expense of the City and County of San Francisco not subject to said limitations for Section 6.400 of the Charter, including the cost of elections, the construction, maintaining and improving of streets, sewers and buildings, parks, squares, playgrounds and libraries, obligations imposed by the State Legislative or Constitutional enactment, and obligations imposed by the vote of the People of the City and County of San Francisco, at the rate of ..... $ 3.496733

For the Open Space Acquisition Fund, the rate of.... .100000
For the County Superintendent of Schools the rate of .003893
For the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund, the rate of .565366
Total..... $4.165992

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Bay Area Air Quality Management District the rate of..... .000342
Bay Area Rapid Transit District-General Fund the rate of.... .025301
TOTAL TAX RATE FOR CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.....4.199635

PREPARED BY:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A Murphy Jr
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE SILVER

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

74-80-1
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 74-80-2 ORDINANCE NO. 457-80

PROVIDING REVENUE AND LEVYING TAXES FOR SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT PURPOSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1981.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California and in conformity therewith a tax is hereby levied for San Francisco Unified School District purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1981, on all property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of Three Hundred Thirty Nine Thousand Five Hundred Eighty Eight Millionths ($.339588) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears upon the secured assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year, which said sum is hereby apportioned as follows:

For general purposes, the rate of	.307954
For debt service under the Field Act, the rate of.....	.031634
TOTAL	$.339588

PREPARED BY:

CONTROLLER

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE SILVER

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

74-80-2
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 74-80-3 ORDINANCE NO. 440-80

PROVIDING REVENUE AND LEVYING TAXES FOR SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PURPOSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1981.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California and in conformity therewith a tax is hereby levied for San Francisco Community College District purposes, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1981, on all property, real and personal, in the City and County of San Francisco, except such property as is by law exempt from taxation, in the sum of Fifty Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Seventy Seven Millionths ($.057777) dollars on each one hundred dollars valuation of said taxable property as the same appears on the secured assessment roll of the said City and County for said fiscal year.

PREPARED BY:

John C Farrell

CONTROLLER

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

BY: Thomas A Tomney Jr

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE SILVER

G H Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman Clerk

74-80-3
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-80-5

ORDINANCE NO. 441-80

Ro #6

APPROPRIATING $438,230 FOR LAND ACQUISITION FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS GARAGE SITE, PARKING AUTHORITY FOR F/Y 1980-81,

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
05-503	1947 Off-Street Parking Bond Fund		
G.L. 301 (015388)	Unreserved Fund Balance	$438,230	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
22-2810 (353235)	Land Acquisition		$438,230

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: George P. Agnost
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

JUL 18 1980

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis, Britt~~, Horanzy, ~~Hutch, Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS BRITT, HUTCH WALKER

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt~~, Horanzy, ~~Hutch, Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BRITT, HUTCH WALKER

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

101-80-5
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-10 ORDINANCE NO. 442-80

RO # 13

APPROPRIATING $264,240 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS AND RESCINDING $264,240 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES AND RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
85-00-00	Laguna Honda Hospital		
01-0010 (448019)	Permanent Salaries - Regular Miscellaneous	$177,480	$172,039
01-0600 (448019)	Retirement - City	27,107	29,245
01-0606 (448019)	Social Security	10,190	10,993
01-0620 (448019)	Unemployment Insurance	638	688
85-00-00	Laguna Honda Hospital - Clarendon Hall		
01-0010 (448035)	Permanent Salaries - Regular Miscellaneous	39,441	41,421
01-0600 (448035)	Retirement - City	6,705	7,041
01-0606 (448035)	Social Security	2,521	2,647
01-0620 (448035)	Unemployment Insurance	158	166
	Total	$264,240	$264,240

Section 2. Twenty-five (25) positions are hereby reclassified as follows:

DEPARTMENT: 85-00-00 Laguna Honda Hospital

FUND: 01-001 General Fund

INDEX: 448019

CLASSIFICATION	RATE OF PAY	ABOLISHED	ADDED
A-106 Assistant Director of Activities and Volunteers	SCJ $444B 534	1	
A-218 Activities Leader	SCJ 381B 455	2	
A-218 Activities Leader	JPTSC $381B 455	15	
A-270 Utilization Review Coordinator	JSC 784B 903	1	
2588 Health Worker IV	572B 691		1
2587 Health Worker III	482B 580		2
2587 Health Worker III	PT 482B 580		15
2322 Head Nurse	SC 854B1032		1

DEPARTMENT: 85-00-00 Laguna Honda Hospital - Clarendon Hall

FUND: 01-001 General Fund

INDEX: 448035

CLASSIFICATION	RATE OF PAY	ABOLISHED	ADDED
A-218 Activities Leader	SCJ $381B 455	6	
2588 Health Worker III	482B 580		6

SA # 16

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature] John C Farrell
CONTROLLER AUG 6 1980

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

By: [signature]
General Manager, Personnel

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
Mayor AUG-7 1980

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy,~~ Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

101-80-10
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-11

ORDINANCE NO. 442-80
RO #20

APPROPRIATING $12,500 FOR REAL ESTATE SERVICE, PARKING AUTHORITY FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off-Street Parking Fund		
G.L. 490 (002048)	Unappropriated Revenues	$12,500	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
30-3030 (353110)	Real Estate Service		$12,500

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

[signature]
CONTROLLER
AUG 12 1980

RECOMMENDED:

[signature]
Mayor

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

101-80-11
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-80-16 ORDINANCE NO. 444-80

AMENDING THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1980-81, GIVING EFFECT TO REVENUE CHANGES PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.208; ADJUSTING THE ESTIMATED REVENUES FOR THE CLEAN WATER PROGRAM; APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE GENERAL FUND COSTS FOR SPECIAL FUNDS; APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR HEALTH BENEFITS FOR TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES; AND CORRECTING CLERICAL ERRORS, POLICE DEPARTMENT; AS A PRE-REQUISITE TO LEVY A TAX PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 6.208.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Annual Appropriation Ordinance for F/Y 1980-81, File No. 101-80-1, Ordinance No. 371-80 is hereby amended by the following increases and decreases in revenue and expenditure appropriations as indicated (in revenue appropriations increases are shown as debits while decreases are shown as credits; in expenditure appropriations, reserves and unappropriated revenue increases are shown as credits while decreases are shown as debits):

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 490 (000026)	Unappropriated Revenues		$ 417,484
G.L. 491 (000026) Subsidiary 000320	Salary Standardization Reserve	$1,048,958	
G.L. 491 (000026) Subsidiary 000340	Reserve for Capital Items		1,300,000
G.L. 491 (000026) Subsidiary 000350	Reserve for Hotel Tax		1,000,000
G.L. 491 (000026) Subsidiary 000360	Reserve for Sales Tax		1,000,000
G.L. 491 (000026) Subsidiary 000370	Reserve for Traffic Fines		2,000,000

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
50-5099 (119990)	Unallocated General Fund Property Taxes	$ 276,623	
60-6001 (119990)	Homeowners Property Tax Relief		$ 128,465
60-6002 (119990)	Business Inventory Property Tax Relief	894,814	
65-6570 (119990)	Non Governmental Match Grant Contribution	1,602,272	
	Expenditure Appropriations		
09-20-00	Controller - General City Responsibilities		
01-0610 (309138)	Health Service - City Match		2,000,000
38-00-00	Police Department - Field Operations - Traffic Regulation		
01-0600 (582338)	Retirement - City		5,021
01-0606 (582338)	Social Security		1,887
01-0620 (582338)	Unemployment Insurance		140
01-001	General Fund		
	Expenditure Appropriations		
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
49-4900 (850875)	Revenue Transfer to #42-04-01 Recreation and Park - Planning and Development - Open Space	4,030,330	
	Total	$7,852,997	$7,852,997
02-221	Open Space Acquisition and Park Renovation Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 490 (002188)	Unappropriated Revenues		$ 636,405
42-04-01	Recreation and Park - Planning and Development - Open Space		
50-5099 (047217)	Property Taxes	4,435,681	

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
60-6001 (047217)	Homeowners' Property Tax Relief	$ 167,997	
60-6002 (047217)	Business Inventory Property Tax Relief	212,423	
99-9990 (165662)	Revenue Transfer from #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated - #01-001 General Fund		$4,030,330
	Expenditure Appropriations		
09-0910 (376426)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		149,366
	Total	$4,816,101	$4,816,101
02-222	Candlestick Park Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 495 (002196)	Committment from Fund Balance	$ 110,690	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
09-0910 (371849)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		$ 110,690
	Total	$ 110,690	$ 110,690
02-224	Marina Yacht Harbor Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 495 (002212)	Committment from Fund Balance	$ 29,404	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
09-0910 (371864)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		$ 29,404
	Total	$ 29,404	$ 29,404
07-001	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
70-40-00	Chief Administrative Officer - General City Responsibilities		
50-5099 (047035)	Unallocated Property Taxes		$1,285,156
60-6001 (047035)	Homeowners' Property Tax Relief	$ 949,823	

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
60-6002 (047035)	Business Inventory Property Tax Relief	$ 18,890	
G.L. 491 (021063) Subsidiary 000170	Tax Delinquency Reserve	317,245	
G.L. 491 (021063) Subsidiary 000180	Homeowners' Property Tax Relief Reserve		$ 802
	Total	$1,285,958	$1,285,958
32-001	Water Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 495 (024018)	Committment from Fund Balance	$ 308,548	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
47-00-00	Water Department		
09-0910 (387019)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		$ 308,548
	Total	$ 308,548	$ 308,548
33-001	Hetch Hetchy Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 495 (025015)	Committment from Fund Balance	$ 116,740	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
32-00-00	Hetch Hetchy Project		
09-910 (336016)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		$ 116,740
	Total	$ 116,740	$ 116,740
34-001	San Francisco Harbor Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriation		
G.L. 495 (026013)	Committment from Fund Balance	79,044	
	Expenditure Appropriation		
39-90-01	Port Commission - Financial Administration		
09-0910 (596007)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		79,044
	Total	$ 79,044	$ 79,044

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
35-001	Clean Water Management Operating Fund		
	Revenue Appropriations		
G.L. 490 (027060)	Unappropriated Revenues	6,717,943	
G.L. 491 (027060) Subsidiary 000230	Reserve for General Obligation Bonds		$1,856,418
G.L. 495 (027060)	Committment from Fund Balance	3,205,000	
92-01-00	Clean Water Program Sanitary Engineering		
54-5401 (041152)	Interest Earned		1,083,000
70-7402 (041152)	Sewer Service Charges		6,175,045
	Expenditure Appropriations		
90-07-00	Public Works - Water Pollution Control		
09-0910 (780007)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		518,551
09-0910 (780015)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		171,872
92-01-00	Clean Water Program - Sanitary Engineering		
09-0910 (542738)	Indirect Cost Reimbursement		118,057
	Total	$9,922,943	$9,922,943

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: Thomas A. Toomey Jr.
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED:

Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE SILVER

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

101-80-16
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. [illegible] ORDINANCE NO. [illegible]

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 344-80 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1980-81) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF TWENTY-FIVE NEW POSITIONS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance 344-80 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1980-81) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department: 85 Laguna Honda Division: 00 Laguna Honda Section: 00 Laguna Honda Fund: 01001 General Fund		
1 abolished	0	A106	Asst. Director of Activities and Volunteers. . . . . SCJ	$444-534
1 added	1	2588	Health Worker IV	572-691
8 abolished	0	A218	Activities Leader. . *New* . . SCJ	381-455
8 added	8	2587	Health Worker III.	482-580
15 abolished	0	A218	Activities Leader. . *New* . J,PTSC	381-455
15 added	15	2587	Health Worker III. . . . . PT. .	482-580
1 abolished	0	A270	S Utilization Review Coordinator JSC	748-903
1 added	40	2322	A Head Nurse . . . . . .SC	854-1032

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY WARD

G H Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman Clerk

102-80-2
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.2 TO CREATE THE INTERIM SACRAMENTO STREET SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF SACRAMENTO STREET BETWEEN LYON STREET AND SPRUCE STREET; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.2 to read as follows:

Section 242.2 Sacramento Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Sacramento Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 3SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from the effective date of said special use district) after which period this Section 242.2 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Sacramento Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5B. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5B. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special

uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5B as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
SACRAMENTO STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	0-1	1-2	3 or more establishments	
Bar	0-1	1-2	3 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-10	11-15	16 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	0-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the four uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Fast-food establishments				X
Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret				X
Dance Hall				X
Hotel				X

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

325-80-1
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 343-80-4 ORDINANCE NO. 447-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.3 TO CREATE THE INTERIM UPPER FILLMORE SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF FILLMORE STREET BETWEEN JACKSON STREET AND BUSH STREET; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.3 to read as follows:

Section 242.3 Fillmore Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be an Upper Fillmore Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 4SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from the effective date of said special use district) after which period this Section 242.3 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Fillmore Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5C. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses. Second story commercial retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (office and retail) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5C as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS
UPPER FILLMORE STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
Office	X			
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-15	16-23	24 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-2	3-4	5 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Acting Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 1 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

325-80-2
File No.

SEP 1 9 1980
Approved

Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.4 TO CREATE THE INTERIM HAIGHT STREET SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF HAIGHT STREET BETWEEN STANYAN STREET AND CENTRAL AVENUE; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.4 to read as follows:

Section 242.4 Haight Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Haight Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 5SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from the effective date of said special use district) after which period this Section 242.4 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Haight Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5D. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5D. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be

permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5D as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

Table 5D

HAIGHT STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-15	16-23	24 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-2	3-4	5 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Acting Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1, 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

325-80-3
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.5 TO CREATE THE INTERIM CASTRO STREET - EUREKA VALLEY SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF CASTRO STREET BETWEEN MARKET STREET AND 19th STREET; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.5 to read as follows:

Section 242.5 Castro Street - Eureka Valley Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Castro Street - Eureka Valley Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 6SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year fromn the effective date of said special use district) after which period this Section 242.5 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Castro and 18th Streets in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5E. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5E. Second story office applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth and second story

retail uses shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5E as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CASTRO STREET - EUREKA VALLEY CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail		X		
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second floor shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Bar	1-9	10-14	15 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-9	10-14	15 or more establishments	
Place of entertainment	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Cabaret	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the seven uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Bowman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Bowman Clerk

325-80-4
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.6 TO CREATE THE INTERIM UPPER MARKET STREET WEST SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF MARKET STREET BETWEEN CASTRO STREET AND CHURCH STREET; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.6 to read as follows:

Section 242.6 Upper Market Street West Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be an Upper Market Street West Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 7SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No.

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from effective date of said special use district), after which period this Section 242.6 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Upper Market Street West in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5F. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5F. Second story commercial office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall

be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses. Second story commercial retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5F as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

UPPER MARKET STREET WEST CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial office	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Bar	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-18	19-27	28 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment	X
Cabaret	X
Dance Hall	X
Hotel	X

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Acting Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

_____ Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 1 0

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____ Clerk

325-80-5
File No.

SEP 9 1980
Approved

_____
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.7 TO CREATE THE INTERIM UPPER MARKET STREET EAST SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF MARKET STREET BETWEEN VALENCIA STREET AND CHURCH STREET; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.7 to read as follows:

Section 242.7 Upper Market Street East Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be an Upper Market Street East Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 8SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from effective date of said special use district), after which period this Section 242.7 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Upper Market Street East in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street, in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5G. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office use shall be permitted as a principal use. Second story commercial retail use shall not be permitted. Third story and above office space shall be permitted only as special uses after review

under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by City Planning Commission for such uses. Third story and above commercial (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5G as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

UPPER MARKET STREET EAST CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
Office	X			
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office			X	
Residential	X			

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-10	11-15	16 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Acting Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

_____ Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP [illegible]

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____ Clerk

325-80-6
File No.

SEP 19, 1980
Approved

_____
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.8 TO CREATE THE INTERIM 24th STREET - NOE VALLEY SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF 24th STREET BETWEEN DIAMOND STREET AND CHATTANOOGA STREET; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.* to read as follows:

Section 242.8 24th Street - Noe Valley Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a 24th Street - Noe Valley Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 9SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from effective date of said special use district), after which period this Section 242.8 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along 24th Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5H. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5H. Second story office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be

permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5H as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

24th STREET - NOE VALLEY CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-10	11-15	16 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment	X
Cabaret	X
Dance Hall	X
Hotel	X

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Acting Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 [illegible]

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

325-80-7
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

1/5

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.9 TO CREATE THE INTERIM 24th STREET - MISSION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF 24th STREET BETWEEN VALENCIA STREET AND POTRERO AVENUE; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.9 to read as follows:

Section 242.9 24th Street - Mission Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a 24th Street - Mission Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 10SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from effective date of said special use district), after which period this Section 242.9 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along 24th Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5I. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5I. Second story office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be

permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5I as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

24th STREET - MISSION CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office				X
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Table 5I

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-16	17-24	25 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for this project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Asst. Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON 4

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 9 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON 4

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

325-80-8
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY ADDING SECTION 242.10 TO CREATE THE INTERIM VALENCIA STREET SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF VALENCIA STREET BETWEEN ARMY STREET AND 14TH STREET; AMENDING SECTION 235 AND SECTION 242 TO CHANGE CROSS REFERENCES TO OTHER SECTIONS; AMENDING OTHER SECTIONS AS APPROPRIATE; AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 242.10 to read as follows:

Section 242.10 Valencia Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Valencia Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 11SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. .

(a) This special use district will be applicable until _____ (one year from effective date of said special use district), after which period this Section 242.10 will be of no further force or effect.

(b) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Valencia Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

3. Establishment of upper story controls to protect the existing housing stock and preserve the unique residential-commercial character on the street.

4. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

5. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

6. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(c) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5J. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Second story commercial office uses shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5J. Second story office use applications that do not meet the standards therein set forth shall be

permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission. Second story retail uses shall not be permitted. Third story and above commercial uses (retail and office) shall not be permitted.

3. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5J as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

4. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

5. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

VALENCIA STREET EAST CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 5,000 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 50 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 5,000 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 50 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail				X
*Office	X			
Office		X		
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail				X
Office		X		
Residential	X			

*Offices on the second story shall be permitted as a principal use where it would not involve the elimination of existing residential units.

Special Use Controls	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Financial office	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Bar	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-16	17-24	25 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-3	4-5	6 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-4	5-6	7 or more establishments	

(For the five uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Place of entertainment			X	
Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

Section 235. SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS. In addition to the use districts that are established by Section 201 of this Code, there shall also be in the city such special use districts as are established in this section and Sections 235 - 242.10, in order to carry out further the purposes of this Code. The designations, locations and boundaries of these special use districts shall be as provided in Sections 236 - 242.10 and as shown on the Zoning Map referred to in Section 105 of this Code, subject to the provisions of Section 105. The original of the numbered sectional maps of the Zoning Map for Special Use Districts referred to in Sections 236 - 242.10 is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 191-67-2, _____

_____.

In any special use district the provisions of the applicable use district established by Section 201 shall prevail, except as specifically provided in Sections 236 - 242.10.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SECTION 242. NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS.

(a) Purposes. In order to provide, maintain and strengthen viable neighborhood commercial districts readily accessible to city residents, promote the multiple use of neighborhood commercial areas with priority given to neighborhood-serving retail and service activity, promote neighborhood commercial revitalization, protect environmental quality in neighborhood commercial areas, prevent the establishment of major new commercial development except in conjunction with adequately supportive residential development and transportation capacity, encourage community-based economic development, and control the rapid expansion of certain kinds of uses which if uncontrolled may adversely affect the character of certain neighborhood commercial districts, there shall be Neighborhood Commercial Special Use Districts, as designated on Sectional Maps of the Zoning Map. The original copies of said Sectional Maps with these Special Use Districts indicated thereon are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. _____

_____

The provisions set forth in this Section 242 and Sections 242.1 through 242.10 including Tables 5A through 5J, shall apply respectively, within these Special Use Districts, and shall be applicable to all property, whether public or private, therein.

(b) Controls.

1. General. These controls, set forth in Tables 5A through 5J, on floor area, frontage, drive-up uses, off-street parking, and outdoor activity are intended to maintain and protect the existing neighborhood-oriented scale of development.

2. Vertical Controls. These controls, set forth in Tables 5A through 5J, on upper story uses are intended to allow for reasonable business expansion while retaining residential uses in neighborhood commercial districts.

3. Special Uses. These controls, set forth in Tables 5A through 5J, on the type and number of certain specific commercial uses permitted in each neighborhood commercial special use district are intended to prevent excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and conditions disruptive of the neighborhood. Special use threshold numbers, or density levels, are established for bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants, and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption. If the existing number of such establishments equals or exceeds the special use threshold limits, applications for additional such uses require approval as special uses. In addition, cabarets, dance halls, hotels, and accessory off-street parking for non-residential uses, require approval as special uses by the City Planning Commission. In reviewing such applications for special use authorization, the Commission shall consider criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code.

(c) Definitions. For the purposes of this Section 242, and Sections 242.1 through 242.10, 312, Tables 5A through 5J, and other sections of this Code relating to neighborhood commercial special use districts, the following definitions shall apply:

1. Bar. A drinking establishment with one of the following Alcoholic Beverage Control licenses:

(A) #61 - On-sale beer (public premises, no person under 21 years)

(B) #42 - On-sale beer and wine (public premises, no person under 21 years)

(C) #48 - On-sale general (public premises, no person under 21 years)

2. Cabaret. A cabaret as defined in Section 1070 of the Police Code.

3. Dance Hall. A dance hall as defined in Section 1022 of the Police Code.

4. Drive-up establishment or use. A drive-up establishment or auto-oriented facility is defined as one designed primarily for drive-to or drive-through trade, giving take-out service as a large proportion of the business, catering to patrons in autos and providing off-street parking.

5. Fast-food establishment, outlet or use. Any establishment without tables which offers for retail sle for immediate off-premises consumption, ready-to-eat cooked foods and beverages primarily served in or on disposable wrappers, containers, or plates.

This definition is applicable to chain restaurants and to independent businesses such as carry-out sandwich shops and ice cream stores which do not have seating capacity for consumption of food on the premises. A fast food establishment which also provides space for consumption of food on the premises shall be included in the restaurant category. This definition is not applicable to food operations which are an incidental part of a significantly larger business such as a lunch counter in a supermarket or to a restaurant which also has seating on the premises.

6. Financial office. Any bank, savings institution, loan company, savings and loan institution, thrift plan, credit union or any establishment for the custody, loan, exchange or issue of money, for the extension of credit or for facilitating the transfer of funds; or any financial office as further defined in the United States Code or the California Financial Code.

7. Hotel. Hotel as defined in Section 209.2 of this Code.

8. Off-street parking accessory to non-residential use. Off-street parking which is not required under Article 1.5 of this Code and which does not serve residential uses.

9. Place of entertainment. A place of entertainment as defined in Section 1060 of the Police Code.

10. Restaurant. An eating establishment which sells food for consumption on the premises, including fast-food establishments and establishments with take-out service, if there is also table space for

not included in this definition. Restaurants with the following Alcoholic Beverage Control licenses are included:

(A) #40 - on-sale beer and wine license

(B) #41 - On-sale beer and wine license (bona fide public eating place)

(C) #47 - On-sale general license (bona fide public eating place).

11. Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption. Establishments with the following Alcoholic Beverage Control licenses:

(A) #20 - Off-sale beer and wine

(B) #21 - Off-sale general

Section 3. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final Negative Declaration, dated February 28, 1980, adopted and issued for the Valencia Street Special Use District project under File No. EE79.446.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Acting Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Bowman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisor SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Bowman Clerk

32580-9
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING Code) BY AMENDING SECTIONS 242, 242.1 AND 312 TO CLARIFY THE UNTION STREET SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICT, COVERING PROPERTIES ON THAT PORTION OF UNION STREET BETWEEN STEINER STREET AND VAN NESS AVENUE AVENUE AND ON THAT PORTION OF FILLMORE STREET BETWEEN UNION STREET AND MOULTON STREET.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning code) is hereby amended by amending Sections 242, 242.1 and 312 to read as follows:

SECTION 242. NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS.

(a) Purposes. In order to provide, maintain and strengthen viable neighborhood commercial districts readily accessible to city residents, promote the multiple use of neighborhood commercial areas with priority given to neighborhood-serving retail and service activity, promote neighborhood commercial revitalization, protect environmental quality in neighborhood commercial areas, prevent the establishment of major new commercial development except in conjunction with adequately supportive residential development and transportation capacity, encourage community-based economic development, and control the rapid expansion of certain kinds of uses which if uncontrolled may adversely affect the character of certain neighborhood commercial districts, there shall be Neighborhood Commercial Special Use Districts, as designated on Sectional Maps of the Zoning Map. The original copies of said Sectional Maps with these Special Use Districts indicated thereon is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 273-80. The provisions set forth in this Section 242 and Section 242.1 including Table 5A, shall apply respectively, within these Special Ude Districts, and shall be applicable to all property, whether public or private, therein.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(b) Controls.

1. General. These controls, set forth in Table 5A, on floor area, frontage, drive-up uses, off-street parking, and outdoor activity are intended to maintain and protect the existing neighborhood-oriented scale of development.

2. Vertical Controls. These controls, set forth in Table 5A, on upper story uses are intended to allow for reasonable business expansion while retaining residential uses in neighborhood commercial districts.

3. Special Uses. These controls, set forth in Table 5A, on the type and number of certain specific commercial uses permitted in each neighborhood commercial special use district are intended to prevent excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and conditions disruptive of the neighborhood. Special use threshold numbers, or density levels, are established for bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants, and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption. If the existing number of such establishments equals or exceeds the special use threshold limits, applications for additional such uses require approval as special uses. In addition, cabarets, dance halls, hotels, and accessory off-street parking for non-residential uses, require approval as special uses by the City Planning Commission. In reviewing such applications for special use authorization, the Commission shall consider criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code.

(c) Definitions. For the purposes of this Section 242, and Sections 242.1, 312 and other sections of this Code relating to neighborhood commercial special use districts, the following definitions shall apply:

1. Bar. A drinking establishment with one of the following Alcoholic Beverage Control licenses:

(A) #61 - On-sale beer (public premises, no person under 21 years)

(B) #42 - On-sale beer and wine (public premises, no person under 21 years)

(C) #48 - On-sale general (public premises, no person under 21 years)

2. Cabaret. A cabaret as defined in Section 1070 of the Police Code.

3. Dance Hall. A dance hall as defined in Section 1022 of the Police Code.

4. Drive-up establishment or use. A drive-up establishment or auto-oriented facility is defined as one designed primarily for drive-to or drive-through trade, giving take-out service as a large proportion of the business, catering to patrons in autos and providing off-street parking.

5. Fast-food establishment, outlet or use. Any establishment without tables which offers for retail sale for immediate off-premises consumption, ready-to-eat cooked foods and beverages primarily served in or on disposable wrappers, containers, or plates.

This definition is applicable to chain restaurants and to independent businesses such as carry-out sandwich shops and ice cream stores which do not have seating capacity for consumption of food on the premises. A fast food establishment which also provides space for consumption of food on the premises shall be included in the restaurant category. This definition is not applicable to food operations which are an incidental part of a significantly larger business such as a lunch counter in a supermarket or to a restaurant which also has seating on the premises.

6. Financial office. Any bank, savings institution, loan company, savings and loan institution, thrift plan, credit union or any establishment for the custody, loan, exchange or issue of money, for the extension of credit or for facilitating the transfer of funds; or any financial office as further defined in the United States Code or the California Financial Code.

7. Hotel. Hotel as defined in Section 209.2 of this Code.

8. Off-street parking accessory to non-residential use. Off-street parking which is not required under Article 1.5 of this Code and which does not serve residential uses.

9. Place of entertainment. A place of entertainment as defined in Section 1060 of the Police Code.

10. Restaurant. An eating establishment which sells food for consumption on the premises, including fast-food establishments and establishments with take-out service, if there is also table space for consumption of food on the premises. Fast-food establishments or specialty grocery stores which do not provide any interior or exterior seating area are not included in this definition. Restaurants with the following Alcoholic Beverage Control licenses are included:

(A) #40 - on-sale beer and wine license

(B) #41 - On-sale beer and wine license (bona fide public eating place)

(C) #47 - On-sale general license (bona fide public eating place).

11. Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption. Establishments with the following Alcoholic Beverage Control licenses:

(A) #20 - Off-sale beer and wine

(B) #21 - Off-sale general

Section 242.1. Union Street Special Use District. In order to provide for an established shopping area with a unique mixture of local, citywide and regional sales and services and a special identity, there shall be a Union Street Special Use District, a Neighborhood Commercial Special Use District, as designated on Sectional Map 2SU of the Zoning Map. The original of said map is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. 273-80.

(a) Specific findings and purposes. This ordinance is intended to further the general purposes of zoning legislation as set forth in Section 101 of this Code, to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public through:

1. Preservation of the existing scale and mix of commercial uses along Union Street in order to maintain the livability of the surrounding residential area as well as the economic viability of the street.

2. Establishment of performance standards for certain types of commercial uses which are concentrated along the street in a manner potentially harmful to residential livability and the maintenance of small-scale neighborhood-serving businesses.

3. Establishment of certain businesses as special uses in order to allow for the orderly development of the street and to prevent any damage to the unique commercial character of the street with its special mix of food and beverage service, entertainment, specialty shops and professional services.

4. Establishment of density thresholds for certain commercial uses beyond which special review is required to assure maintenance of a balance of sales and services to the neighborhood, citywide and regional customers and users of the street and prevention of excessive noise, traffic and parking congestion and other conditions disruptive of a neighborhood.

5. Prohibition of "drive-up" type uses, and establishment of review procedures for off-street parking facilities, which uses would cause interference with an already congested traffic flow and would be out of character with the special urban character of this unique shopping area.

These standards shall govern the adoption of guidelines by the City Planning Commission to be applied by the Zoning Administrator, the City Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors, on appeal, in reviewing applications for special uses.

(b) Controls. The following provisions shall apply within such special use district:

1. Floor area, frontage, off-street parking, and outdoor activity shall be permitted as principal uses only within the standards set forth in Table 5A. Applications that exceed the standards therein set forth shall be permitted as special uses after review under applicable criteria set forth in this Code and Guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for such uses.

2. Bars, fast food outlets, financial offices, places of entertainment, restaurants and stores selling liquor for off-premises consumption may be permitted within the standards set forth in Table 5A as permitted uses where the threshold number has not been exceeded, or as special uses otherwise.

3. No permitted use shall include an establishment of the "drive-in" type, serving customers waiting in parked motor vehicles.

4. Any application for special use authorization for establishment, alteration or enlargement of a cabaret, dance hall, hotel, or for off-street parking accessory to non-residential uses, shall be reviewed, and approved or disapproved by the City Planning Commission which shall consider all relevant criteria set forth in Section 312 of this Code before taking action.

NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS

UNION STREET CONTROLS AND USE TABLE

	Principal permitted use	Special use which may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
General Controls				
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area not exceeding 2,500 square feet, and a frontage not exceeding 30 feet.	X			
Retail, personal service or other commercial establishment permitted as a principal use in a C-2 district, which has a gross floor area exceeding 2,500 square feet, and has a frontage exceeding 30 feet.		X		
Accessory outdoor commercial activity along frontage and within property line.	X			
Accessory outdoor commercial activity on interior of lot.		X		
Drive-up uses				X
Parking accessory to non-residential use.			X	
Vertical Controls				
GROUND STORY AND BELOW				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
SECOND STORY				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			
THIRD STORY AND ABOVE				
Retail	X			
Office	X			
Residential	X			

	Principal permitted use	may be approved by Zoning Administrator if requirements met; or Commission if not met	Special use which may be approved by Commission with additional criteria considered	Not permitted
Special Use Controls				
Financial office	1-6	7-9	10 or more establishments	
Bar	1-8	9-12	13 or more establishments	
Restaurant	1-40	41-60	61 or more establishments	
Fast-food establishments	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	
Sale of liquor for off-premises consumption	1-8	9-12	13 or more establishments	
Place of entertainment	1-5	6-8	9 or more establishments	

(For the six uses listed above, ranges of numbers of establishments are shown in the use chart. For example, an application for an eighth bar in the Union Street Special Use District may be approved as a principal use; an application for a ninth bar may be approved by the Zoning Administrator as a special use if all requirements are met, or by the Planning Commission if requirements are exceeded; an an application for a thirteenth bar may be approved as a special use only by the Commission.)

Cabaret			X	
Dance Hall			X	
Hotel			X	

SECTION 312. SPECIAL USES

(a) General. The Zoning Administrator and the City Planning Commission shall make determinations regarding applications for authorization of special uses in the specific situations in which such authorization is provided for elsewhere in this Code. The procedures for special uses shall be as specified in this section.

(b) Purpose. The special use authorization procedure is intended to facilitate the orderly processing of applications for alteration and enlargement of existing uses and for establishment of uses in Neighborhood Commercial Special Use Districts through a procedure which allows for efficient and thorough review of applications using criteria and requirements as set forth in this Code and guidelines as adopted from time to time by the City Planning Commission, so as to insure fairness to each applicant and adequate and reasonable regulation of commercial development. Except as provided in subdivision (d), no special use authorization may be approved pursuant to this Chapter which is not consistent with the policies and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of San Francisco, the purposes of this Code, the general purposes of Neighborhood Commercial Special Use Districts (Section 242(a)), the purposes of special use controls (Section 242(b)), and the purposes of the particular special use district. In considering such authorizations the Zoning Administrator and the Planning Commission shall also consider the needs of the owners of property, operators of businesses, residents of surrounding areas, users of the areas and the community in general.

(c) Initiation. A special use determination may be initiated by application of the owner, or authorized agent for the owner, of the property for which the special use is sought.

(d) Determination by the Zoning Administrator. After review

the Zoning Administrator shall either approve the application and authorize a special use if the facts presented are such as to establish:

1. That the proposed use meets the standards of applicable sections of this Code;

2. That the proposed use meets the standards of applicable guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for review of such applications; and

3. That the proposed use complies with the following requirements:

(A) Upper Story Retail and Office Use

(1) If the proposal is to convert an existing residential unit to commercial use, kitchen facilities and floor plan will be retained to allow conversion back to residential use.

(2) If the proposal is for new construction, dwelling units are to be provided on another story unless the applicant establishes to the satisfaction of the Zoning Administrator that it is infeasible to do so.

(3) No more than 67% of existing second story units are in commercial (retail or office).

(B) Financial Office

(1) No other financial office is within 300 feet,

(2) Proposed establishment does not exceed 2,500 square feet of gross floor area,

(3) No drive-up facilities are provided, and

(4) No off-street parking is provided on the site.

(C) Bar, Fast-food outlet, restaurant, or store selling liquor for off-premises consumption.

(1) No other establishment of one of these types is within 100 feet,

(2) Proposed establishment does not exceed 1,500 square feet of gross floor area,

(3) No outdoor activity area abuts property with residential occupancy,

(4) No off-street parking is provided on the site, and

(5) No drive-up facilities are provided.

(D) Place oc Entertainment.

(1) No other place of entertainment is within 300 feet,

(2) Proposed establishment does not exceed 1,000 square feet of gross floor area,

(3) No outdoor activity area abuts property with residential occupancy,

(4) No electronic amplification equipment is used,

(5) No off-street parking is provided on the site; cr he or she shall refer the matter to the City Planning Commission for hearing as set forth in subsection (e) following.

(e) Review and determination by the City Planning Commission. In those cases in which the Zoning Administrator determines that requirements of subsection (d) above are not met, or that the public interest would be best served by a review of the consistency of the proposed special uses with the purposes to be served and the factors required to be considered in approval by the City Planning Commission, or the possible imposition of conditions which will make approval consistent with the requirements of subsection (d) he or she may refer the matter to the City Planning Commission for review and hearing. Following such hearing the Commission may approve or disapprove such application for special use authorization, or may approve with conditions, following the procedures set forth in

Section 303 for conditional uses. In making the determinations required by Sections 303(c) and 303(d) to be made if authorization is to be approved, the Commission shall consider the following factors, as applicable:

1. Dance Halls.

(A) Whether proposed establishment is within 300 feet of another dance hall,

(B) Whether proposed establishment exceeds 1,000 square feet of gross floor area,

(C) Whether proposed establishment is within 100 feet of property with residential occupancy, and

(D) Whether noise levels created by the use outside the premises are excessive or disruptive to the neighborhood.

2. Cabarets.

(A) Whether the proposed establishment is within 300 feet of another cabaret,

(B) Whether the proposed establishment exceeds 1,000 square feet of gross floor area,

(C) Whether alcoholic beverages are served after midnight, and

(D) Whether entertainment is provided after midnight.

3. Hotels.

(A) Whether the proposed establishment includes more than 5 guest rooms or suites of rooms, and

(B) Whether the proposed establishment is designed for tourist activity, parking and traffic volume out of scale with the neighborhood.

(f) Notice of Determination. The determination of the Zoning Administrator to approve an application for special use authorization shall be posted on the subject property, advertised in a newspaper

of general circulation, and mailed to groups and individuals requesting such notice. The Zoning Administrator may provide such additional notice as he or she deems appropriate to carry out the provisions of this section.

(g) Appeal of action by the Zoning Administrator to Commission. The action of the Zoning Administrator in approving an application for special use authorization may be appealed to the City Planning Commission within ten (10) calendar days of the date of publication of the determination by any of the following:

1. The applicant.

2. Ten or more property owners or tenants of the residental or commercial property within 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the subject property subscribing to a petition of appeal.

In hearing such appeals on the granting of a special use authorization by the Zoning Administrator, the Commission shall be guided by the same standards, criteria and procedures set forth in Section 312(e).

(h) Appeal of action by the City Planning Commission to Board of Supervisors. The action of the City Planning Commission in approving or disapproving an application for special use authorization, or approving an application subject to conditions, may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The procedures for appeals of actions by the Commission on applications for conditional uses, as set forth in Section 308.2 of this Code, shall be followed in such cases. In hearing such appeals the Board of Supervisors shall make the determinations and consider the factors required to be considered by the Planning Commission pursuant to Section 312(e).

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

13

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GERGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By [signature]
for Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

[signature] Clerk

325-80-10
File No.

SEP 19 1980
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP [illegible]

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HUTCH KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

[signature]
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 312 TO MODIFY THE ZONING PROCEDURES FOR NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL SPECIAL USE DISTRICTS.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 312 to read as follows:

SECTION 312. SPECIAL USES

(a) General. The Zoning Administrator and the City Planning Commission shall make determinations regarding applications for authorization of special uses in the specific situations in which such authorization is provided for elsewhere in this Code. The procedures for special uses shall be as specified in this section.

(b) Purpose. The special use authorization procedure is intended to facilitate the orderly processing of applications for alteration and enlargement of existing uses and for establishment of uses in Neighborhood Commercial Special Use Districts through a procedure which allows for efficient and thorough review of applications using criteria and requirements as set forth in this Code and guidelines as adopted from time to time by the City Planning Commission so as to insure fairness to each applicant and adequate and reasonable regulation of commercial development. Except as provided in subdivision (d), no special use authorization may be approved pursuant to this Chapter which is not consistent with the policies and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of San Francisco, the purposes of this Code, the general purposes of Neighborhood Commercial Special Use Districts (Section 242(a)), the purposes of special use controls (Section 242(b)), and the purposes of the particular special use district. In considering such authorizations the Zoning Administrator and the

Planning Commission shall also consider the needs of the owners of property, operators of businesses, residents of surrounding areas, users of the areas and the community in general.

(c) Initiation. A special use determination may be initiated by application of the owner, or authorized agent for the owner, of the property for which the special use is sought.

(d) Determination by the Zoning Administrator. After review the Zoning Administrator shall either approve the application and authorize a special use if the facts presented are such as to establish:

1. That the proposed use meets the standards of applicable sections of this Code; and

2. That the proposed use meets the standards of applicable guidelines adopted by the City Planning Commission for review of such applications; and

3. That the proposed use complies with the following requirements:

(A) Upper Story Retail and Office Use

(1) If the proposal is to convert an existing residential unit to commercial use, kitchen facilities will be retained to allow conversion back to residential use.

(2) No more than 67% of existing second story units are in commercial use (retail or office).

(B) Financial Office

(1) No other finacial office is within 300 feet,

(2) Proposed establishment does not exceed 2,500 square feet of gross floor area,

(3) No drive-up facilities are provided, and

(4) No off-street parking is provided on the site.

(C) Bar, Fast-food outlet, restaurant, or store selling liquor for off-premises consumption.

(1) No other establishment of one of these types is within 100 feet,

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 1 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

(2) Proposed establishment does not exceed 1,500 square feet of gross floor area,

(3) No outdoor activity area abuts property with residential occupancy,

(4) No off-street parking is provided on the site, and

(5) No drive-up facilities are provided.

(D) Place of Entertainment.

(1) No other place of entertainment is within 300 feet,

(2) Proposed establishment does not exceed 1,000 square feet of gross floor area,

(3) No outdoor activity area abuts property with residential occupancy,

(4) No electronic amplification equipment is used, and

(5) No off-street parking is provided on the site; or he or she shall refer the matter to the City Planning Commission for hearing as set forth in subsection (e) following.

(e) Review and determination by the City Planning Commission. In those cases in which the Zoning Administrator determines that the requirements of subsection (d) above are not met, or that the public interest would be best served by a review of the consistency of the proposed special uses with the purposes to be served and the factors required to be considered in approval by the City Planning Commission, or the possible imposition of conditions which will make approval consistent with the requirements of subsection (d) he or she may refer the matter to the City Planning Commission for review and hearing following the procedures set forth in Section 303 for Conditional Use. The Commission may approve or disapprove such application for special use authorization, or may approve with conditions.

In making the determination required by Sections 303 (c) and 303 (d) to be made if authorization is to be approved, the Commission shall consider the following factors, as applicable:

1. Dance Halls.

(A) Whether proposed establishment is within 300 feet of another dance hall,

(B) Whether proposed establishment exceeds 1,000 square feet of gross floor area,

(C) Whether proposed establishment is within 100 feet of property with residential occupancy, and

(D) Whether noise levels created by the use outside the premises are excessive or disruptive to the neighborhood.

2. Cabarets

(A) Whether the proposed establishment is within 300 feet of another cabaret,

(B) Whether the proposed establishment exceeds 1,000 square feet of gross floor area,

(C) Whether alcoholic beverages are served after midnight, and

(D) Whether entertainment is provided after midnight.

3. Hotels.

(A) Whether the proposed establishment includes more than 5 guest rooms or suites of rooms, and

(B) Whether the proposed establishment is designed for tourist activity, parking and traffic volume out of scale with the neighborhood.

(f) Notice of Determination. The determination of the Zoning Administrator to approve an application for special use authorization shall be posted on the subject property, advertised in a newspaper

of general circulation, and mailed to groups and individuals requesting such notice. The Zoning Administrator may provide such additional notice as he or she deems appropriate to carry out the provisions of this section.

(g) Appeal of action by the Zoning Administrator to Commission. The action of the Zoning Administrator in approving an application for special use authorization may be appealed to the City Planning Commission within ten (10) calendar days of the date of publication of the determination by any of the following:

1. The applicant.

2. Ten or more property owners or tenants of the residental or commercial property within 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the subject property subscribing to a petition of appeal.

In hearing such appeals on the granting of a special use authorization by the Zoning Administrator, the Commission shall be guided by the same standards, criteria and procedures set forth in Section 312(e).

(h) Appeal of action by the City Planning Commission to Board of Supervisors. The action of the City Planning Commission in approving or disapproving an application for special use authorization, or approving an application subject to conditions, may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors. The procedures for appeals of actions by the Commission on applications for conditional uses, as set forth in Section 308.2 of this Code, shall be followed in such cases. In hearing such appeals the Board of Supervisors shall make the determinations and consider the factors required to be considered by the Planning Commission pursuant to Section 312(e).

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST,
CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors SILVER

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy,~~ Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

371-80
File No.

SEP 29 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-17

AMENDING ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS OF THE 1980-81 ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE BY ADDING SECTIONS 25 AND 26.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Amending the administrative provision of the annual appropriation ordinance by adding Section 25 to read as follows:

Section 25. The Controller is hereby authorized to approve transfer of funds appropriated in the General Fund for Facilities Maintenance and Capital Projects, with the exception of amounts previously reserved by the Board of Supervisors, from Department #99 - General Fund Unallocated to the departments which requested them.

Section 2. Amending the administrative provision of the annual appropriation ordinance by adding Section 26 to read as follows:

Section 26. The Controller is hereby authorized to allocate to all city departments as required by the Memo of Understanding dated November 5, 1979 an amount not to exceed $2,000,000 for Health Service Benefits.

Departments funded by other than General Fund revenues shall reimburse the General Fund by the amount of their allocation. The Board of Supervisors hereby appropriates the monies necessary to reimburse the General Fund.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 8 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE SILVER

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 1 0 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

101-80-17
File No.

SEP 2 5 1980
Approved

Mayor

Principal Librarian
Governmental Documents
Civic Center
San Francisco, Ca 94102

FILE NO. 178-79 ORDINANCE NO. 458-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE) TO PERMIT KITE FLYING WITHOUT A PERMIT, AND TO PROHIBIT KITE FLYING NEAR HIGH VOLTAGE LINES AND BROADCASTING TOWERS.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 28 of Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

Section 28. KITE FLYING PROHIBITED NEAR HIGH VOLTAGE LINES AND BROADCASTING TOWERS. It shall be unlawful for any person to ((raise or)) fly any kite so that the kite, tail, or string comes within 25 feet of any high voltage overhead conductor or artifically illuminated television or radio transmitting tower. As used in this section, a "high voltage overhead conductor" is any electrical conductor with a voltage in excess of 750 volts, installed above the ground and not enclosed in iron pipe or equivalent; and an "artificially illuminated television or radio transmitting tower" is a radio or television transmitting tower

equipped with electric lighting to avoid hazard to aircraft ((that portion of the City and County of San Francisco bounded by Divisadero, Castro and Army streets, thence easterly along Army Street to the waters of the bay, and thence northerly and westerly along the shore of the bay to the intersection of Divisadero Street with the waters of the bay, without obtaining in the first instance a permit so to do from the Chief of Police)).

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

8605b

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
1990
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor [redacted] KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

178-79
File No.

SEP 25 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

APPROVING THE LEASE BETWEEN SINBAD's PIER 2, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402-1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the lease between Sinbad's Pier 2, Inc., a California corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission. A copy of said lease is contained in the Board of Supervisors' file number 62-80-6.

Approved As To Form
George Agnost, City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HORANZY

G. H. Boeeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. H. Boeeman Clerk

62-80-6
File No.

SEP 26 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

APPROVING FIRST AMENDMENT TO LEASE BETWEEN FOREIGN TRADE SERVICES, INC. AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OPERATING BY AND THROUGH THE SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Pursuant to Section 7.402.1 of the Charter, the Board of Supervisors hereby approves the first amendment to the lease between Foreign Trade Services, Inc., a California Corporation, and the City and County of San Francisco operating by and through the San Francisco Port Commission, a copy of which amendment is contained in the Board of Supervisors' file number 65-80-6.

Approved As To Form:

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 5 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis~~, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS KOPP

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G. H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP SILVER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. H. Foreman Clerk

65-80-6
File No.

SEP 26 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 91-80-2

ORDINANCE ~~RESOLUTION~~ NO. 461-80

~~AN ORDINANCE~~ APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A PROJECT LEASE AND AUTHORIZING AND RATIFYING EXECUTION OF DOCUMENTS REASONABLY NECESSARY FOR THE ISSUANCE, SALE AND DELIVERY OF BONDS TO FINANCE THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE GEORGE R. MOSCONE CONVENTION CENTER GARAGE

WHEREAS, the Parking Authority of the City and County of San Francisco (the "Authority") is able to render financial assistance to the City and County of San Francisco (the "City") by financing the acquisition and construction of the George R. Moscone Convention Center Garage (the "Project");

WHEREAS, the City now has a need for and desires to use the Project, together with appurtenant and related facilities and additions and improvements thereto, and the City proposes to lease the Project from the Authority; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 54242 empowers this Board of Supervisors to approve the act of entering a public leaseback (as said term is defined in Government Code Section 54240) by ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO:

Section 1. It is hereby found and determined that it is in the public interest, convenience and welfare and for the common benefit of the inhabitants of the City that the City lease the Project from the Authority.

Section 2. The act of entering into a lease of the Project by the City from the Authority is hereby approved. The form of project lease, dated for convenience as of August 1, 1980 (the "Project Lease"), copies of which are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and to which reference is hereby made, and their terms and conditions thereof, are hereby approved. The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute and the Clerk is hereby directed to affix the seal of the City and to attest the Project Lease in substantially the form hereby approved or with any changes that hereafter become necessary in the interest of the City which changes do not materially affect the substance or materially increase the obligations of the City; provided that the term of the Project Lease shall not be greater than 30 years and that the base rental set forth in the Project Lease payable in any 12-month period shall not exceed one million three hundred thousand dollars ($1,300,000).

Section 3. The City Attorney is authorized to make such changes as may be required in the interests of the City to conform all documents for final signatures by the parties to the Project Lease, including, without limitation, alteration of Exhibit A to the Project Lease to conform to any title insurance requirements.

Section 4. The Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Attorney, City Controller, Director of Public Works and Director of Property are each hereby authorized and directed in the name of and on behalf of the City to take any and all steps and to issue and deliver any and all certificates, requisitions, agreements, notices, consents and other documents, which they or any of them deem necessary or appropriate in order to consummate the lawful issuance, sale and delivery of the Authority's lease revenue bonds, proposed to be issued by the Authority to

finance the Project.

Section 5. All actions authorized and directed by this ordinance and heretofore taken are hereby ratified, confirmed and approved by this Board of Supervisors.

Section 6. This ordinance is subject to the provisions for referendum applicable to the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco pursuant to Section 9.108 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 7. This ordinance shall be published once, within fifteen (15) days after adoption hereof, in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper of general circulation, published within the City and County of San Francisco.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy,~~ Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

91-80-2
File No.

SEP 26 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

RO#18

APPROPRIATING $105,664 FOR DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT, WATER DEPARTMENT FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
32-001	Water Department Operating Fund		
G.L. 301 (024018)	Unreserved Fund Balance	$105,664	
47-00-00	Water Department		
22-2230 (387019)	Data Processing Equipment		$105,664

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

101-80-72 SEP 26 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-15 ORDINANCE NO. 463-80

Ro# 24

APPROPRIATING $136,000 FOR FOODSTUFFS, SHERIFF, FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-465	Sheriff's Special Maintenance Fund		
06-00-00	Sheriff		
70-7802 (048165)	Boarding Prisoners of Other Counties	$136,000	
49-4900 (842039)	Revenue Transfer Out to #06-00-00 Sheriff - #01-001 General Fund		$136,000
01-001	General Fund		
06-00-00	Sheriff		
99-9990 (164301)	Revenue Transfer In from #06-00-00 Sheriff - #02-465 Sheriff's Special Maintenance Fund	$136,000	
10-1371 (305011)	Foodstuffs		$136,000

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

101-80-15 SEP 26 1980
File No. Approved

Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT
OCT 28 1980

FILE NO. 101-79-137

ORDINANCE NO. 464-80
RO 228

APPROPRIATING $320,000 FOR PROJECT EXPENDITURES, MOSCONE CENTER GARAGE PROJECT, PARKING AUTHORITY FOR F/Y 1979-80.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1979-80 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-071	Off Street Parking Fund		
G.L. 490 (002048)	Unappropriated Revenues	$320,000	
36-00-00	Parking Authority		
20-2010 (353144) Proj. #503-01	Project Expenditures Moscone Center Garage Project		$320,000

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

101-79-137
File No.

SEP 26 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 278-80 ORDINANCE NO. 463-80

9/15/80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 1183 THEREOF, AND ADDING SECTIONS 1183.1 THROUGH 1183.14 THERETO, PROHIBITING OPERATION OF INTERURBAN PASSENGER MOTOR BUSES WITHIN CERTAIN STREETS AND AREAS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII, San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code), is hereby amended by amending Section 1183 thereof, to read as follows:

SEC. 1183. Operation of Interurban Passenger Motor Buses and Vans Within Certain Streets and Areas, Prohibited. It shall be unlawful for any person to operate any interurban passenger motor buses or vans with seating capacity of eight (8) or more passengers, any interurban passenger vehicles operating as a public carrier or public carriers, with seating capacity of eight (8) or more passengers for hire, any interurban motor freight vehicles, or interurban motor trucks operating as a public carrier or public carriers upon the streets or areas designated in Section 1183.1 et seq. of this code.

The provision of Section 1183 shall not apply to buses operated by the San Mateo County Transit District eastbound on Market Street between Main and Spear Streets; provided no more than two (2) buses per hour are operated on this block of Market Street; and on Market Street westbound between Main and Pine Streets; and on Pine Street between Market and Battery Streets; and on Battery Street between Pine Street and Market Street, provided no more than 16 buses are operated on these streets between the hours of 7 a.m. and 9 a.m., also 4 p.m. and 6 p.m., Monday through Friday, and two buses per hour at all other times.

The provision of Section 1183 shall not apply to buses operated by the San Mateo County Transit District on Post Street

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

between Mason and Kearny Streets; and on Sutter Street between Mason and Montgomery Streets; and on Montgomery Street between California and Market Streets; and on California Street between Battery Street and Davis Street; and on Davis Street between California Street and Market Street; and on Kearny Street between California Street and Market Street.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter VIII, San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code), is hereby amended by adding Sections 1183.1 through 1183.14 thereto, to read as follows:

SEC. 1183.1 Area bounded by Chestnut Street to Baker Street, to and including Marina Boulevard to Laguna Street, to Bay Street, to and including Franklin Street to Chestnut Street.

SEC. 1183.2 In areas described as follows:

Commencing at the point of intersection of the northerly line of Sutter Street with the westerly line of Mason Street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Sutter Street to its intersection with the westerly line of Grant Avenue; thence northerly along the westerly line of Grant Avenue to its intersection with the northerly line of Bush Street; thence easterly along the northerly line of Bush Street to its intersection with the westerly line of Kearny Street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Kearny Street to its intersection with the northerly line of California Street; thence easterly along the northerly line of California Street to its intersection with the northerly line of Market Street; thence southerly across Market Street to the intersection of the southerly line of Market Street with the easterly line of Spear Street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Market Street to its intersection with the westerly line of Fifth Street; thence northerly across Market Street to the northerly line of Market Street; thence westerly along the

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 2 8 1980
SAN FRANCISCO

northerly line of Market Street to its intersection with the westerly line of Mason Street; thence northerly along the westerly line of Mason Street to the point of commencement; provided, however, that for the purpose of encouraging the use of mass transit facilities, the Board of Supervisors, by resolution, may designate specific routes within the area described hereinabove along which the aforesaid vehicles may be operated.

SEC. 1183.3 Corbett Avenue, between Seventeenth and Twenty-Fourth Streets.

SEC. 1183.4 Seal Rock Drive, between Forty-fifth Avenue and El Camino Del Mar.

SEC. 1183.5 Twin Peaks Boulevard, between Clayton Street and Burnett Drive.

SEC. 1183.6 Panorama Drive, between Clarendon Avenue and Twin Peaks Boulevard.

SEC. 1183.7 Olympia Way, between Clarendon Avenue and Panorama Drive.

SEC. 1183.8 Green Street, between Grant Avenue and Montgomery Street.

SEC. 1183.9 Montgomery Street, between Green Street and Broadway.

SEC. 1183.10 Vallejo Street, between Montgomery and Sansome Streets.

SEC. 1183.11 Lombard Street, between Stockton and Kearny Streets.

SEC. 1183.12 Telegraph Hill Boulevard, between Kearny Street and Coit Tower parking lot.

SEC. 1183.13 El Camino Del Mar, between 25th Avenue and Legion of Honor Drive.

SEC. 1183.14 Area bounded by southerly line of Bay Street and Columbus Avenue, easterly line of Taylor Street, northerly line of Union Street and easterly line of Polk Street.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 5 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt,~~ Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

278-80
File No.

SEP 26 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 350-80 ORDINANCE NO. 466-80

ADDING SECTION 869.2 TO PART II, CHAPTER VIII, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE) TO PREVENT THE ISSUANCE OF FURTHER PEDDLERS PERMITS FOR PEDDLING IN THE FISHERMAN'S WHARF AREA FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR.

NOTE: Additions are not underlined; all sections are entirely additional.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco finds it necessary to impose a one-year moratorium on the issuance of peddlers' permits in the Fisherman's Wharf area of San Francisco. The facts which create this necessity are as follows:

1. More than 200 peddlers' permits and street artist permits are presently in effect which authorize peddling of goods, wares, or merchandise, or sale of street artists wares in this area.

2. Present standards of the police department would allow additional peddlers' permits to be issued.

3. The number of peddlers and street artists in the area, and the congregation of persons patronizing such peddlers and street artists, despite present regulations intended to

facilitate the flow of traffic, including fire and emergency access, driveway, and street entrance access, have created an overcrowding sitatuation which threatens public safety.

4. A review of the regulations for issuance of peddlers' permits by the Police Department, with full public participation, is necessary to determine whether it is necessary to modify the regulations applied to presently-permitted peddlers, to change the locations authorized for presently-permitted peddlers, or to reduce the number of peddlers permitted in the Fisherman's Wharf area. In order that those presently holding permits be protected from such adverse impact as would result if it is found necessary to reduce the number of outstanding permits, to change the locations authorized under existing permits, or otherwise to modify the regulations applicable to peddlers in the Fisherman's Wharf area, it is necessary to impose a moratorium on further issuance of peddlers' permits in the Fisherman's Wharf area.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter VIII of the San Francisco Municipal Code is hereby amended by the addition of Section 869.2, to read as follows:

Section 869.2. Moratorium on issuance of permits.

(a) For one year from the effective date of this ordinance no peddlers shall be licensed to peddle goods, wares or merchandise in the area bounded by Van Ness Avenue, Aquatic Park, The Embarcadero, and Bay Street.

(b) This Ordinance shall not be construed to prevent the renewal or modification of peddlers' permits which would permit

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 3 1980
SAN FRANCISCO

such peddling, except that no such peddlers' license shall be modified or renewed, during the specified period, on terms expanding the license as it applies to the area bounded by Van Ness Avenue, Aquatic Park, The Embarcadero, and Bay Street.

(c) This ordinance does not apply to those peddlers regulated by Article 24 of this Code.

Section 3. The Police Department shall report to the Board of Supervisors by June 30, 1981 on the measures it has taken to reduce the safety problems associated with street artists and peddlers in the Fisherman's Wharf area, and on any recommendations for revisions of Section 869 of the Police Code to further ameliorate the problem.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 15 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt~~, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

350-80
File No.

SEP 26 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 389-80 ORDINANCE NO. 467-80

AMENDING ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING ARTICLE XV, REGARDING HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM MEMBERSHIP AND QUALIFICATIONS

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Article XV thereto, reading as as follows:

ARTICLE XV
Health Service Sysyem

Section 16.700 Membership. (a) Effective December 1, 1980, and continuing until an expenditure ceiling of $2,000,000.00 has been met and certified by the Controller, membership in the Health Service System shall include in addition to members of the Retirement System all employees with six months or more continuous service whose normal work week at the time of inclusion in the system is not less than twenty hours and who have passed a Civil Service Commission recognized medical examination within a twelve month period preceeding the date of inclusion in the system.

(b) "Continuous service" is defined as City or School District service, uninterrupted except for authorized leaves of absence. CETA funded employees who have or will become employed in City or School District funded positions shall be credited with the period of CETA funded continuous service with the City or School District for purposes of qualifying for membership in the system.

(c) "Civil Service Commission recognized medical examination" is defined as the examination described in ~~Section 9.18~~ Rule 15 of the Rules of the Civil Service Commission. 9/15/80

Section 2. The provisions of Section 1 hereof shall only become effective upon the adoption by the voters of a proposition on the November 4, 1980, General Election ballot amending Charter Section 8.420 known as Proposition D.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
By David Greenwich
Deputy City Attorney

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 2 8 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 1 5 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt,~~ Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, ~~Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, SILVER

Clerk

389-80
File No.

SEP 2 6 1980
Approved

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

Mayor

FILE NO. 109-80-8

AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE 9/16/80

ORDINANCE NO. 468-80

AMENDING CHAPTER 37 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 37.12 THEREOF TO EXTEND THE TERMINATION DATE OF THE RESIDENTIAL RENT STABILIZATION AND ARBITRATION ORDINANCE.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 37 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by amending Section 37.12 to read as follows:

SEC. 37.12. TERMINATION. This ordinance shall terminate on ((November 4, 1980)) ~~April 1, 1980~~ December 1, 1981 except for the purpose of maintaining or defending any proceeding with respect to any right or liability that may have arisen under this ordinance during its operation period.

9/16/80

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, ~~Britt~~, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BRITT, KOPP

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 29 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~ WARD

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP ~~Ward~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

109-80-8
File No.

SEP 30 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. [illegible]

ORDINANCE NO. 469-80

Ro 30

APPROPRIATING $6,432,700 FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET - CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, PROGRAM-WIDE SUPPORT FUNCTIONS TO BE FUNDED BY FEDERAL AND STATE GRANT #C-06-1411-100 AND BY 1976 SEWER REVENUE BONDS, CLEAN WATER PROGRAM FOR F.Y. 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F.Y. 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
35-551	Clean Water Grants Fund		
92-01-00	Clean Water Program-Sanitary Engineering		
60-6501(042416)	Direct Grants from Federal and State Agencies-Grant #C-06-1411-100	$4,816,727	
20-2030(543397) Proj. #383-02	Capital Projects Budget Construction Management		$4,816,727
35-895	1976 Sewer Revenue Bonds		
G.L.301(035006)	Unreserved Fund Balance	$1,615,973	
92-01-00	Clean Water Program-Sanitary Engineering		
20-2030(543363) Proj. #106-00	Capital Projects Budget Construction Management		$1,615,973

Section 2. All funds are to be held in reserve by the Controller until written approval from the grantor is received.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis, Britt,~~ Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS BRITT

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 29 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

101-80-19
File No.

OCT 03 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE BY AMENDING SECTION 869 THEREOF AND BY ADDING SECTION 1320.10.1 THERETO PROHIBITING PEDDLING AND THE OPERATION OF MOBILE CATERING VEHICLES ON OPEN SPACE LOCATED ON TWIN PEAKS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 13, of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 869 thereof, to read as follows:

Section 869. REGULATING PEDDLERS, EXCEPTIONS. Except as provided in Article 24 of this Code, it shall be unlawful for any person to peddle goods, wares or merchandise, or any article, material or substance, of whatsoever kind, on the public streets, unless duly licensed to do so. Duly licensed peddlers shall peddle their goods, wares or merchandise subject to the following regulations:

(a) Not more than 4-1/2 feet from the curb line of any sidewalk.

(b) not within 18 inches of the curb line of any sidewalk.

(c) No more than 5 feet above any sidewalk.

(d) In an area not more than 4 feet long.

(e) Sprinkler inlets, standpipe inlets (both wet and dry) are to be kept clear for 7-1/2 feet on each side, measured form the outer edge of standpipe bank from the building line to the sidewalk edge.

////

////

////

(f) Not within 12 feet from the outer edge of any entrance to any building including but not limited to doors, driveways, emergency exits measured in each direction parallel to the building line and thence at a 90-degree angle to the curb.

(g) Not on any sidewalk ajacent to curb which has been duly designated pursuant to local ordinance or regulation as one of the following:

1. White zone,
2. Yellow zone, or
3. Bus zone

(h) Not within 5 feet of any crosswalk.

(i) Fire escapes must be unobstructed underneath and perpendicular from building to the street 5 feet from both ends of fire escape.

(j) Fire hydrants must have 5 feet sidewalk clearance.

(k) Inflammable liquid vents and fill pipes must be kept clear for 5 feet in both directions on the sidewalk when tanks are not being filled or within 25 feet while tank is being filled.

(l) No peddler shall peddle his goods, wares or merchandise between the hours of 12:00 a.m. (midnight) and 6:00 a.m. of the following day.

////

////

////

////

////

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 2 8 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(m) No peddler shall peddle his goods, wares or merchandise in any "P" district as defined in Section 234 of the City Planning Code (Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code) or in any Open Space district located on Twin Peaks.

Section 2. Part II, Chapter VIII, Article 17.2 of the San Francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by adding Section 1320.10.1 thereto, to read as follows:

SECTION 1320.10.1 PROHIBITION OF SELLING FROM VEHICLES IN "P" DISTRICT AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT ON TWIN PEAKS. No operator of a mobile catering vehicle shall offer for sale or give away any food or drink for human consumption within or adjacent to the curb of any "P" district as defined in Section 234 of the City Planning Code (Part II, Chapter II, San Francisco Municipal Code) or any Open Space district located on Twin Peaks.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By David I. Kroopnick
Philip J. Moscone, Deputy City Attorney
David I. Kroopnick, Deputy City Attorney

7510b

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 29 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

312-79
File No.

OCT 03 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 384-79-2 ORDINANCE NO. 471-80

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER VIII, SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (POLICE CODE), SECTION 109.11, EXTENDING TERMINATION DATE OF MORATORIUM ON DEMOLITION OR CONVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL HOTEL UNITS AND CONVERSION OF APARTMENTS TO HOTEL USES: AN EMERGENCY MEASURE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco;

Section 1. Part II, chapter VIII, San francisco Municipal Code (Police Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 109.11 thereof to read as follows:

Sec. 109.11 Duration of Interim Controls. The interim controls set forth herein shall expire on May 31, 1981, unless extended by further action of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 2. In approving this ordinance by a three-fourths vote, this Board of Supervisors does hereby declare that an actual emergency exists and that the immediate preservation of the peace and safety of the City and County of San Francisco necessitates that it take effect immediately upon the signature of the Mayor. The evidence which leads this Board to find that an emergency exists is as follows:

(a) There is a severe residential housing shortage in San Francisco;

and ever-increasing impetus to demolition of residential hotel units, conversion of residential hotel units to other uses, and conversion of apartment units to hotel uses, a housing emergency exists within the City and County of San Francisco requiring immediate legislative action by the Board of Supervisors;

(c) The Department of City Planning is in the process of preparing legislation for the Board of Supervisors to consider before the expiration of this ordinance to provide permanent and comprehensive controls over the demolition and conversion of residential hotel units;

(d) A comprehensive study of the problem of conversion and demolition of residential housing units as well as other causes of reduction of the rental housing supply, and of possible legislative measures to establish effective control of such demolition and conversion and to promote increased housing supply and a Citizens Housing Task Force has been established and is in the process of preparing such a study to be completed by December 31, 1980;

(f) The elderly, the disabled, minorities, and other persons with limited economic resources, who are or may be tenants of such residential housing, will suffer great hardship if no controls are in effect during the period while the necessary comprehensive studies are conducted and until permanent legislation becomes effective.

///

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, SEP 29 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

384-79-2 OCT 03 1980
File No. Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 36-80-23 ORDINANCE NO. 472-80

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Beginning at a point on the easterly line of McCormick Street 73 feet south of the southerly line of Pacific Avenue; thence at a right angle perpendicular to the said easterly line of McCormick Street easterly 58.5 feet; thence at a right angle southerly 72 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 137.25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 7.5 feet; thence at a right angle westerly 5.25 feet; thence at a right angle northerly 64.5 feet; thence at a right angle easterly 84 feet to the point of beginning; Being all of Lots 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 42 and 43 in Assessor's Block 185 and also including the abutting area of McCormick Street.	RH-2	RH-1

DOCUMENTS DEPT. OCT 28 1980 SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final negative declaration adopted and issued for the project under File No. EE79.118.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
By [signature] for
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
SEP 29 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

36-80-23
File No.

OCT 03 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. 715-66

AMENDING PART II, CHAPTER II OF THE SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL CODE (CITY PLANNING CODE) BY AMENDING SECTION 306.1 TO PROVIDE FOR FEES FOR SPECIAL USE APPLICATIONS.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Article 2 of Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code (City Planning Code) is hereby amended by amending Section 306.1 to read as follows:

Section 306.1. Applications and Filing Fees. (a) Who may initiate. The persons and agencies that may file or otherwise initiate actions for amendments, conditional uses and variances are indicated in Sections 302 through 305.

(b) Where to file. Applications shall be filed in the office of the Department of City Planning.

(c) Content of applications. The content of applications shall be in accordance with the policies, rules and regulations of the Zoning Administrator and the City Planning Commission. All applications shall be upon forms prescribed therefor, and shall contain or be accompanied by all information required to assure the presentation of pertinent facts for proper consideration of the case and for the permanent record. The applicant may be required to file with his application the information need for the preparation and mailing of notices as specified in Section 306.3.

(d) Verification. Each application file by or on behalf of one or more property owners shall be verified by at least one such owner or his authorized agent attesting to the truth and correctness of all facts, statements and information presented.

(e) Fees. Before accepting any application for filing, the Department of City Planning shall charge and collect a fee as follows:

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
OCT 3 1966

1. For each application for an amendment to reclassify property or to establish, abolish or modify a set-back line, the fee shall be $100.

2. For each applicaion for authorization of a conditional use (including Planned Unit Development), the fee shall be $100.

3. For each application for a variance, the fee shall be $100, except that where this Code does not require a hearing the fee shall be $50 whether or not a hearing is actually held.

4. For each application for authorization of a special use pursuant to Section 242 of this Code, the fee shall be $200 for those applications which can be approved by the Zoning Administrator and $350 for those applications which require review by the Planning Commission.

(f) Exemption. Any fraternal, charitable, benevolent or any other non-profit organization having a regular membership associated primarily for civic welfare, with revenue accruing therefrom to be used exclusively for the non-profit purposes of said organiration, and which organization is exempt from taxation, under the Internal Revenue laws of the United States as a bonafide fraternal, charitable, benevolent or other non-profit organization shall be exempt from paying the fees specified in Subsection (e) above.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

By _____
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 23 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker,~~ Ward.

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH LAWSON WALKER

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

SEP 29 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

Noes: Supervisors HORANZY HUTCH LAWSON WARD

Absent: Supervisors KOPP

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

370-80
File No.

OCT 03 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-23 ORDINANCE NO. 414-80

RO# 48

APPROPRIATING ~~$161,567~~ $129,481 FOR PERMANENT SALARIES REGULAR MISCELLANEOUS, RELATED MANDATORY FRINGE BENEFITS, TEMPORARY SALARIES, TELEPHONE, PERIODICALS SUBSCRIPTIONS, OTHER CURRENT SERVICES (McNAUGHTON) HOUSEHOLD SUPPLIES, FUELS AND LUBRICANTS AND LIGHT, HEAT AND POWER, PUBLIC LIBRARY FOR F/Y 1980-81. AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
G.L. 491 (001255)	Unappropriated Revenues Retained		
(000012)	Emergency Reserve	$129,481 ~~$161,567~~	
41-00-00	Public Library		
01-0010 (615005)	Permanent Salaries Reg. Misc.		$ 56,753 ~~$74,556~~
01-0600 (615005)	Retirement - City		9,643 ~~12,007~~
01-0606 (615005)	Social Security		3,694 ~~4,704~~
01-0610 (615005)	Health Service		2,565 ~~2,695~~
01-0620 (615005)	Unemployment Insurance		239 ~~298~~
01-0621 (615005)	Unemployment Insurance - Admin.		11
01-0200 (615005)	Temporary Salaries - Regular		32,076 ~~42,076~~
11-1232 (615005)	Telephone		800
11-1235 (615005)	Subscriptions - Periodicals		8,000
11-1299 (615005)	Other Current Services (McNaughton)		8,000
11-1355 (615005)	Household Supplies		500
11-1391 (615005)	Fuels and Lubricants		200
30-3300 (615005)	Light, Heat and Power		7,000
	TOTAL	$129,481 ~~$161,567~~	$129,481 ~~$161,567~~

10/1/80 mb

10/1/80 mb

JA# 80-19

Section 2. Five (5) Permanent Positions and One (1) Part-time position are hereby created as follows:

DEPARTMENT: 41-00-00 Public Library

FUND: 01-001 General Fund

CLASSIFICATION	RATE OF PAY	CREATED
2708 Custodian	$477 B 575	1
3616 Library Technical Assistant - P/T	500 B 602	1
3630 Librarian	596 B 721	4

Section 3. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists and that this ordinance be made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being the uninterrupted operation of the Public Library.

Without these additional funds, hours of service at four branch libraries would have to be reduced and professional librarians would not be able to develop the collections, offer public service or provide adequate programming for both the adult and juvenile audiences.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: George P. Agnost
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:
John C Farrell
CONTROLLER
SEP 17 1980

APPROVED:
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

By: John Wolf
General Manager, Personnel

RECOMMENDED:
Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT. OCT 1 1980 SAN FRANCISCO

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, OCT 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, ~~Horanzy~~, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HORANZY MOLINARI

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

101-80-23
File No.

OCT 1 0 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-80-44

ORDINANCE NO. 475-80

RO#42

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF THE LITIGATION OF MARIKO HARADA AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $66,164.31.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MARIKO HARADA v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL.," Superior Court No. 696-132, by the payment of $66,164.31.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By: Kenneth J. Harrington
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

By: Raymond Evans
Director

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

SEP 10 1980

APPROVED:

Kevil R. Smith
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis, Britt, Horanzy,~~ Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari,~~ Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors BARDIS BRITT HORANZY MOLINARI

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

45-80-44
File No.

OCT 16 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

Principal Librarian
Governmental Documents
Civic Center
San Francisco, Ca 94102

DOCS

FILE NO 232-80-6 ORDINANCE NO. 476-80

AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND CLEAN WATER PROGRAM TO TAKE NECESSARY MEASURES TO PROTECT THE LIVES AND PROPERTY OF THE CITIZENS OF SAN FRANCISCO BY BLOCKING THE FLOW OF WASTEWATER FROM THE DRY WEATHER SEWER TO THE WET WEATHER SEWER ALONG DAVIDSON, RANKIN, AND CUSTER STREETS; AN EMERGENCY MEASURE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
Section 1. The startup of the SEWPCP Contract "P" Phase 1 Primary Facilities is scheduled for November 15, 1980. One week before the scheduled startup, the contractor will construct the 66" diameter west influent sewer connection at Selby and Davidson to the Islais Creek Sewer (IC-1) dry weather sewer. The connection will redirect the west influent flow to the new Pretreatment Building Structure 011.

The IC-1 dry weather sewer design provides for overflow into the larger wet weather sewer via 6' X 3' horizontal slots at the top of the dry weather sewer. There are approximately 170 of these slots for the portions of IC-1 on Davidson, Rankin, and Custer. The IC-1 wet weather sewer will not be activated until 1985. Therefore, any overflows from the dry to the wet sewer will stagnate in the wet weather sewer causing extremely objectionable conditions potentially injurious to public health. Also these will require a significant effort to clean the wet weather sewer prior to its activiation in 1985.

To complete this work in the dry weather sewer after the west influent connection is made would be hazardous to construction personnel. If the Clean Water Program cannot allow Contract "P" to proceed with this connection schedule, because of unfinished work to block the overflow slots, the Clean Water Program will be vulnerable to extended overhead delay claims. Contract "P" daily overhead costs are about $20,000.

Section 2. The Director of Public Works and Clean Water Program is authorized to proceed in the most expeditious manner to correct the hazard by engaging a duly licensed contractor to perform the necessary work. The cost of the work is estimated to be less than $100,000.

Section 3. An emergency is hereby declared to exist under the provisions of Section 2.301 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the reason for the emergency being to eliminate a potential environmental odor and health hazard and to prevent costly delay claims to the City.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis,~~ Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

232-80-6 OCT 16 1980
File No. Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 232-80-7 ORDINANCE NO. 47780

AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS AND CLEAN WATER PROGRAM TO RENOVATE THE TRAFFIC FINES BUREAU, ROOM 101, HALL OF JUSTICE IN THE MOST EXPEDITIOUS MANNER; AN EMERGENCY MEASURE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Presiding Judge of the Municipal Court requests that the renovation of Traffic Fines Bureau Room 101, Hall of Justice, for the installation of a computer be done on an emergency basis because of the time lag resulting from the use of an interim budget at the beginning of fiscal year 1980-81.

Section 2. Construction must be completed prior to the arrival of the computer equipment on or about October 15, 1980, at which time the City will begin paying for the equipment.

Section 3. Cancellation or later shipment date would result in loss of order position and potential delay of at least a year.

Section 4. The Director of Public Works and Clean Water Program is authorized to proceed in the most expeditious manner to have the necessary alteration work done. The cost of the work is estimated at $224,500.

Section 5. An emergency is hereby declared to exist under the provisions of Section 2.301 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the reason for the emergency being to provide for the immediate preservation of public property and the uninterrupted operation of the Traffic Fines Bureau.

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Burdin~~ Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes—Supervisors BARDIS

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

232-80-7 OCT 16 1980
File No. Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. _____ ORDINANCE NO. 710-80

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Beginning at a point on the westerly line of Mission Street distant 160 feet north of the northwest corner of the intersection of 16th Street and Mission Street, thence northerly along the westerly line of Mission Street a distance of 200 feet, thence at a right angle westerly a distance of 182 feet to the easterly line of Wiese Street, thence at a right angle southerly along the easterly line of Wiese Street a distance of 200 feet, thence at a right angle easterly a distance of 182 feet to the point of beginning; Being all of Lot 5 in Assessor's Block 3554.	P (Public)	RC-4 (Residential-Commercial Combined, High Density)

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final negative declaration adopted and issued for the project under File No. EE80.114.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
By _____
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
By _____
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis~~, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

_____ Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis~~, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors BARDIS

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____ Clerk

36-80-24
File No.

OCT 17 1980
Approved

_____
Mayor

FILE NO. ORDINANCE NO. 417-80

ADOPTING CHANGES IN PROPERTY USE CLASSIFICATION AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE ZONING MAP OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND CERTIFYING REVIEW OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. After environmental review pursuant to Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code and the issuance of a final negative declaration by the Department of City Planning, and pursuant to Section 302(c) of the City Planning Code, Part II, Chapter II of the San Francisco Municipal Code, the following change in property use classification, duly approved by resolution of the City Planning Commission, is hereby adopted as an amendment to the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco:

Description of Property	Use District to be Superseded	Use District Hereby Approved
Beginning at a point on the southerly line of Washington Street, distant 137 feet and 6 inches westerly from the southwesterly corner of Franklin Street and Washington Street; and running thence westerly along said southerly line of Washington Street a distance of 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle running southerly 127 feet and 8 inches; thence at a right angle running easterly 137 feet and 6 inches; thence at a right angle running northerly 127 feet and 8 inches to the point of beginning; Being Lot 14 in Assessor's Block 617.	P (Public)	RM-3 (Mixed Residential, Medium Density)

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILE NO.

Section 2. The Board of Supervisors does hereby certify that it has reviewed and considered the information contained in the final negative declaration adopted and issued for the project under File No. EE80.42.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY
By [signature]
Deputy City Attorney

RECOMMENDED:
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
By [signature]
Rai Y. Okamoto
Director of Planning

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 6 1980
Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis,~~ Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.
No~~es~~ Supervisor~~s~~ BARDIS
~~Absent: Supervisors:~~
[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980
Ayes: Supervisors ~~Bardis,~~ Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.
No~~es~~ Supervisor~~s~~ BARDIS
Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
[signature] Clerk

36-80-25
File No.

OCT 17 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-8 ORDINANCE NO. [illegible]

RO#15

APPROPRIATING $100,000 FOR EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MAINTENANCE PROJECTS, RECREATION AND PARK FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-229	Concession Fund		
G.L. 490 (004077)	Unappropriated Revenues	$100,000	
42-02-00	Recreation and Park - Administration		
20-2020 (603472) Proj. #006/01	Facilities Maintenance Project - Conservatory of Flowers		$ 94,500
22-2299 (603472) Proj. #002/01	Equipment - Sharon Building		5,500
	Total	$100,000	$100,000

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI

G H Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver~~, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman Clerk

101-80-8 OCT 17 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. [illegible] ORDINANCE NO. 701-80

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 344-80 (ANNUAL SALARY ORDINANCE, 1980-81) REFLECTING THE CLASSIFICATION OF ~~EIGHT~~ SIX POSITIONS IN THE PUBLIC LIBRARY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The hereinafter designated sections and items of Ordinance No. 344-80 (Annual Salary Ordinance, 1980-81) are hereby amended so that the same shall read as follows:

(Amendment)	No. of Pos.	Class & Item No.	Class Title	Compensation Schedule
		Department: 41 Public Library Division: 00 Public Library Section: 00 Public Library Fund: 01001 General Fund		
1 added	18	2708 B	Custodian.	$477-575
~~2 added~~	~~42~~	~~3616 B~~	~~Library Technical Asst. I. . . . .~~	~~500-602~~
1 added	1	3616 C	Library Technical Asst. I PT . .	500-602
4 added	54	3630 B	Librarian I.	Z 596-721

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari,~~ Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI

[signature] Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver,~~ Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE SILVER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

[signature] Clerk

102-80-3
File No.

OCT 17 1980
Approved

[signature]
Mayor

ORIGINAL AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE AS AMENDED IN BOARD 10/6/80

FILE NO. 378-80 ORDINANCE NO. 482-80

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER 40 PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SYSTEMATIC HOUSING CODE ENFORCEMENT LOAN PROGRAM AND POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR THE ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCING THEREOF.

Be it ordained by the people of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding Chapter 40 thereto, reading as follows:

CHAPTER 40

HOUSING CODE ENFORCEMENT LOAN PROGRAM

Article I

IN GENERAL

SEC. 40.1. Purpose.

This chapter provides for the administration and financing of a systematic Housing Code Enforcement Loan Program (HELP) in the City and County of San Francisco. The provisions of this chapter and chapter 32 of the San Francisco Administrative Code constitute the City's comprehensive residential rehabilitation financing program adopted pursuant to the Marks-Foran Residential Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 37910, et seq. of the Health and Safety Code.

The purpose of HELP is to improve the condition of housing

for the public, especially low and moderate income tenants presently residing in apartments and residential hotels, and to improve the quality of life in San Francisco by providing a means through which owners of deteriorating residential property may obtain financial assistance to rehabilitate their property and maintain it in a residential use, thus preserving and enhancing the City's low income housing stock.

In establishing a systematic Housing Code Enforcement Loan Program, the Board of Supervisors finds and declares that:

(a) There is a shortage in San Francisco of safe, decent, and sanitary housing.

(b) The preservation of existing housing is the most effective way to provide decent housing in the City and County of San Francisco.

(c) The cost of repairing and upgrading substandard residential structures is generally far less costly than demolition and replacement housing.

(d) Rehabilitation of existing housing results in less personal hardship, involves less overall social costs, and retains neighborhood identity.

(e) A citywide program of systematic code enforcement in all multi-family buildings has been found to be a reliable manner in which to determine which of these existing residential structures are substandard and in need of rehabilitation.

(f) The cost of financing rehabilitation of such structures is a major and substantial factor affecting the supply

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

and cost of decent, safe, and sanitary housing in San Francisco.

(g) The revenue bonds provded for in this chapter will substantially lower the cost of financing such rehabilitation.

(h) This lowered cost of rehabilitation financing will increase the supply of adequate housing by encouraging rehabilitation rather than demolition of these substandard buildings.

(i) The lowered cost of rehabilitation financing will increase the supply of adequate housing by speeding the rehabilitation of these substandard buildings.

(j) This lowered cost of rehabilitation financing will lower the cost of decent, safe, and sanitary housing in San Francisco.

(k) This lowered cost of rehabilitation financing is in the public interest and serves a public purpose by lowering the cost of maintaining an adequate supply of safe, decent, and sanitary housing in the City and County of San Francisco.

(l) A public purpose is also served by using rehabilitation financing, made available pursuant to this chapter, to encourage voluntary code compliance in multi-family buildings located in San Francisco's Neighborhood Strategy Areas.

(m) Pursuant to regulations of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, the City and County of San Francisco has designated a number of Neighborhood Strategy Areas throughout the City. Such areas have been selected for comprehensive revitalization programs using a variety of local,

state and federal programs to upgrade and stabilize these residential communities.

(n) The City's goal of revitalization of these areas will be promoted if substandard multi-family buildings in the areas are brought into code compliance in advance of the time that these buildings would ordinarily be required to meet rehabilitation standards under a systematic code enforcement program.

(o) Allowing owners of multi-family buildings in such areas, who request a binding inspection of their buildings, to apply for rehabilitation financing pursuant to this chapter will encourage voluntary code compliance.

(p) Encouraging voluntary code compliance for multi-family buildings located in Neighborhood Strategy Areas serves a public purpose in that it accelerates code compliance in buildings which will eventually be subject to a systematic code enforcement program and furthers the City's commitment to revitalize various neighborhoods throughout the City.

(q) The shortage of safe, decent and sanitary housing is especially acute for low and moderate income tenants of apartments and residential hotels.

(r) A public purpose is also served by minimizing the displacement of existing tenants of buildings rehabilitated under this program by establishing effective tenant protections and by prohibiting the use of HELP loans for the conversion of multi-family housing to condominium or commercial uses.

SEC. 40.2. Definitions.

Unless the context otherwise requires, the following definitions govern the construction of this chapter:

(a) "Bonds" means any bonds, notes, interim certificates, debentures, or other obligations issued by the City pursuant to this chapter and which are payable exclusively from revenues, as defined, and from any other funds specified in this chapter upon which the bonds may be made a charge and from which they are payable.

(b) "City" means the City and County of San Francisco.

(c) "Commercial Use" means any non-residential use including, but not limited to, a store, office, manufacturing facility, warehouse, or other business facility. For the purpose of this chapter, commercial use shall include a tourist facility or tourist hotel.

(d) "Conventional HELP Loan" means any loan made for the purpose of meeting rehabilitation standards pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

(e) "Financing" means the lending of moneys or any other thing of value for the purpose of repair and improvement of a residence necessary to meet rehabilitation standards.

(f) "Housing Code Enforcement Loan Program" or "HELP" has the same meaning as "program."

(g) "Loan Committee" means the committee established in accordance with section 40.13.

(h) "Loan Fund" means the fund established with the

proceeds of bonds issued pursuant to the provisions of this chapter or any other fund established for the purpose of making loans to property owners pursuant to this chapter.

(i) "Low and Moderate Income Household" means a household whose income does not exceed one hundred twenty percent (120%) of the medium income for the city by family size.

(j) "Multi-Family Buildings" means buildings containing three or more dwelling units, as defined in the San Francisco Housing code, or more than six guest rooms as defined in the San Francisco Housing Code.

(k) "Neighborhood Strategy Area" means an area designated by the City as a "Neighborhood Strategy Area" as that term is defined in regulation issued by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

(l) "Participating Party" means any person, company, corporation, partnership, firm or other entity or group of entities requiring financing for the purpose of meeting rehabilitation standards pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

(m) "Program" means the systematic Housing Code Enforcement Loan Program or HELP described in this chapter and includes, but is not limited to, the provisions for code enforcement and financing residential rehabilitation in a citywide program of systematic enforcement of rehabilitation standards in all multi-family buildings.

(n) "Rehabilitation Standards" means the standards

established in the City Housing Code and other applicable codes relating to the physical conditions of existing residential structures.

(o) "Residence" means real property improved with a residential structure.

(p) "Residential Rehabilitation" means the repairs and improvements to a substandard residential structure necessary to meet rehabilitation standards.

(q) "Systematic Enforcement" means the enforcement of rehabilitation standards in accordance with a systematic program of making inspections of all multi-family dwelling structures in accordance with objective criteria for selection or order of selection of dwelling structures to be inspected.

SEC. 40.3. References to Public Officials and Public Agencies

(a) Unless otherwise indicated, all public officials and public agencies named in this chapter are officials and agencies of the City.

(b) Whenever a City official is referred to in this chapter, the reference includes that official and his or her designee or designees.

(c) All references to the Charter or to ordinances are references to the Charter or to ordinances of the City.

ARTICLE II

RESPONSIBILITIES OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SEC. 40.4 Issuance of Bonds

The Board of Supervisors may from time to time by resolution authorize procedures for the issuance of bonds for the purpose of establishing a loan fund to be used to assist property owners with the rehabilitation of residential structures as required in a citywide program of systematic enforcement of rehabilitation standards in multi-family buildings. The repayment of principal, interest and other charges on the loans to the property owners, together with such other moneys as the Board of Supervisors may, in its discretion, make available therefor, shall be the sole source of funds pledged by the City for repayment of such bonds. Bonds issued under the provisions of this chapter shall not be deemed to constitute a debt or liability of the City or a pledge of the faith and credit of the City, but shall be payable solely from the funds specified in this section. The issuance of such bonds shall not directly, indirectly or contingently obligate the Board of Supervisors to levy or to pledge any form of taxation whatever therefore, or to make any appropriation for their payment.

SEC. 40.5. Approval of Fees, Charges and Interest Rates on Financing.

The Board of Supervisors shall, upon the recommendation of the Chief Administrative Officer, approve by resolution prior to levy, all fees, charges and interest rates to be charged

participating parties in connection with financing residential rehabilitation.

SEC. 40.6. Revision of Loan Charges.

Prior to any revision of the fees, charges and interest rates for financing residential rehabilitation, the Board of Supervisors shall prescribe standards for the revision of such fees, charges and interest rates. Such standards:

(a) Shall be adopted by the Board of Supervisors after a public hearing preceded by public notice to affected parties; and

(b) May reflect only changes in interest rates on the City's bonds, losses due to defaults, and bona fide changes in loan servicing charges related to the administration of a program under the provisions of this chapter.

Article III

ADMINISTRATION OF PROGRAM

SEC. 40.7. Responsibility for Administration of the Program.

The Chief Administrative Officer shall be responsible for administration of all aspects of the Housing Code Enforcement Loan Program except those aspects for which responsibility is specifically retained by the Board of Supervisors or assigned by the Board of Supervisors to another City agency. The Chief Administrative Officer, and each City agency assigned responsibilities by or pursuant to this chapter, shall have all such authority as may be reasonably necessary to carry out those

responsibilities. While retaining overall responsibility for administration of the program, the Chief Administrative Officer shall utilize the services of the Bureau of Building Inspection of the Department of Public Works in connection with the code enforcement aspects of the program, and the services of the Real Estate Department in connection with the rehabilitation financing aspects of the program. The Chief Administrative Officer may also request the assistance of any other City agency in meeting his or her responsibilities under this program.

SEC. 40.8. Adoption of Objective Selection Criteria for Systematic Enforcement of Rehabilitation Standards.

(a) The Chief Administrative Officer shall adopt objective selection criteria for the systematic enforcement of rehabilitation standards in all multi-family buildings. Such criteria shall be recommended to the Chief Administrative Officer by the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(b) Criteria for the order of selection of multi-family buildings to be inspected shall be based upon the seriousness and frequency of occurrence of Housing Code and other City code violations constituting a threat to public health and safety. Such hazardous conditions include, but are not limited to, lack of proper egress, improper use and occupancy, storage of flammable or combustible materials, and lack of safety devices such as smoke and heat detection devices, fire alarms, stairway enclosures, and sprinkler systems. Data on hazardous conditions

in these buildings shall be obtained from a survey conducted by the Division of Apartment House and Hotel Inspection of the Bureau of Building Inspection which was conducted in 1969 and which is periodically updated.

(c) Before the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection recommends the adoption of objective selection criteria, the Superintendent shall conduct a public hearing at which the objective selection criteria will be discussed.

(d) At least 10 days preceding the hearing, the Superintendent shall make proposed objective selection criteria available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(e) At least 10 days preceding the hearing, notice of the hearing shall be published once in a newspaper of general circulation published in San Francisco. The notice shall state the time, place and purpose of the hearing. The notice shall also state that the Superintendent's proposed objective selection criteria are available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(f) After the Chief Administrative Officer has adopted objective selection criteria, the following procedure must be observed before the Chief Administrative Officer can change the criteria:

(i) A copy of the proposed change shall be made available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection at least 10 days before a

proposed change can become final.

(ii) Notice of the proposed change must be published once in a newspaper of general circulation published in San Francisco at least 10 days before the proposed change can become final. The notice shall state the nature of the proposed change and the fact that the text of the proposed change is available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(iii) The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall mail a copy of the notice specified in Sec. 40.8(f) (ii) to all persons who have submitted a request in writing to the Superintendent that they receive copies of such notices.

SEC. 40.9 <u>Inspection of Buildings in Neighborhood Strategy Areas</u>.

(a) An owner of a multi-family building located in a Neighborhood Strategy Area may request that his or her building be inspected, for the purpose of determining if it meets rehabilitation standards, in advance of the time that such building would ordinarily be inspected pursuant to the criteria established in Sec. 40.8. The owner shall submit a written request for this inspection to the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection. After receiving such a request, the Superintendent shall have the building inspected and, if the

building is found to be substandard, the owner will be eligible to apply for a loan pursuant to this chapter.

(b) Such inspections will be binding on the owner of the building even if this owner is later found not to be eligible for a loan pursuant to this chapter.

(c) The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall include notice of the availability of the inspection program described in this section in the annual billing for the permit of occupancy license fee for multi-family buildings. Such notice shall state that owners who request these inspections will be eligible to apply for rehabilitation financing pursuant to this part. The notice shall plainly describe the Superintendent's evaluation of the possibility that in the next succeeding year funds will not be available to fund all applications meeting the program criteria in a timely fashion, and that in such case priority for buildings qualifying under this section will be given to those buildings in which 50 percent or more of the units, as shown in the loan application, are such that the units meet the definition of "low income housing stock" in Chapter 13 (Subdivision Code) of the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco. The notice shall also state that the boundaries of Neighborhood Strategy Areas will be available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection. The notice shall also state that the inspections will be binding on the owners.

SEC. 40.10. Rules and Regulations.

(a) The Chief Administrative Officer shall adopt such rules and regulations as he or she may deem appropriate to carry out the provisions of this chapter. A copy of all such rules and regulations shall be available for review by the public during regular business hours in the office of the Chief Administrative Officer, the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Department of Public Works, and in every other office established for the purpose of carrying out this program.

(b) Before the Chief Administrative Officer adopts these rules and regulations, the Chief Administrative Officer or his or her delegee shall conduct a public hearing at which the rules and regulations will be discussed.

(c) At least 10 days preceding the hearing, the Chief Administrative Officer shall make the proposed rules and regulations available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(d) At least 10 days preceding the hearing, notice of the hearing shall be published once in a newspaper of general circulation published in San Francisco. The notice shall state the time, place and purpose of the hearing. The notice shall also state that the proposed rules and regulations are available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(e) After the Chief Administrative Officer has adopted rules and regulations, the following procedure must be observed before the Chief Administrative Officer can change the rules and

regulations:

(i) A copy of the proposed change shall be made available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection at least 10 days before a proposed change can become final.

(ii) Notice of the proposed change must be published once in a newspaper of general circulation published in San Francisco at least 10 days before the proposed change can become final. The notice shall state the nature of the proposed change and the fact that the text of the proposed change is available for public inspection at the Bureau of Building Inspection.

(iii) The Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection shall mail a copy of the notice specified in Sec. 40.9(e) (ii) to all persons who have submitted a request in writing to the Superintendent that they receive copies of such notices

SEC. 40.11. Management of Bond Proceeds.

Unless provided otherwise in any bond resolution adopted pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, the Chief Administrative Officer, acting on the recommendation of the Controller:

(a) May invest and reinvest both the bond proceeds and the revenues from the financing of residential rehabilitation; and

(b) May manage fiscally the proceeds of bonds issued for the purpose of establishing a residential rehabilitation loan fund; or

(c) Together with the Purchaser may enter into contractual arrangement with private lending institutions or trust companies to manage the residential rehabilitation loan fund, including investment and reinvestment of the funds, disbursements from the fund and collection of revenues

SEC. 40.12. Recommendation of Fees, Charges, and Interest Rates on Financing.

The Chief Administrative Officer, acting on the advice of the Controller, shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors for adoption:

(a) The fees, charges and interest rates which will be charged participating parties in connection with financing residential rehabilitation; and

(b) Revisions, as necessary, of the fees, charges and interest rates levied on participating parties, consistent with the standards adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 40.6.

SEC. 40.13. Notice of Defaults and Foreclosures.

When there is a default on a conventional HELP loan secured by a deed of trust naming the City as a beneficiary and the property becomes subject to foreclosure procedures, the Chief Administrative Officer shall so inform the Loan Committee.

ARTICLE IV

LOAN COMMITTEE

SEC. 40.14. Loan Committee -- Membership.

There shall be a Loan Committee, whose members shall serve without compensation, consisting of the following members, all of whom shall be residents of the City:

(a) One individual, with permanent residence as a tenant in the City, appointed by the Mayor

(b) One individual, with permanent residence as a tenant in the City, appointed by the President of the Board of Supervisors.

(c) One individual appointed by the Controller.

(d) One individual qualified in the field of real estate lending and financing who shall be appointed by the Chief Administrative Officer.

(e) One individual who is a permanent employee of the Real Estate Department.

SEC. 40.15. Loan Committee -- Functions.

The functions of the Loan Committee are as follows:

(a) The Loan Committee shall periodically review the rules and procedures and standards for the granting of residential rehabilitation loans and shall recommend changes as needed to the Chief Administrative Officer.

(b) The Loan Committee shall review and recommend approval or denial of applications required to be considered by the Loan Committee by or pursuant to this chapter.

(c) The Loan Committee shall operate in a manner consistent with by-laws which shall be developed by the Loan Committee and approved by the Chief Administrative Officer, and the recommendation of approval or denial of loan applications shall be in accordance with the requirements contained in, or adopted pursuant to, this chapter.

ARTICLE V

REHABILITATION FINANCING

SEC. 40.16 Eligibility for Loans

(a) Each owner of a residential multi-family building inspected pursuant to a systematic program of enforcement of rehabilitation standards or pursuant to the voluntary inspection program defined in section 40.9 of this chapter is eligible for a loan provided the owner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Chief Administrative Officer the ability to repay such a loan, applies for the loan within a time period to be designated by the Chief Administrative Officer, and can meet the other requirements of this chapter. The property owner shall agree to all conditions of the loan agreement as a prerequisite to obtaining a loan. No elective officer of the state or any of its subdivisions shall be eligible to receive a loan under the provisions of this chapter.

(b) No owner shall be eligible for a loan under the program if the owner has in the twelve months preceding the date

of application:

(i) Attempted to, or intentionally did cause any tenant to vacate his or her premises in the subject building, other than for those causes defined as just cause by the San Francisco Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance or other ordinance or general law limitation on cause for eviction as may be applicable to the building; or

(ii) Attempted to, or intentionally did cause any tenant to vacate his or her premises in the subject property by the use of coercion, intimidation, harassment, undue influence, or any means contrary to law; or

(iii) Caused the conversion of any residential hotel unit to any other use or any apartment unit to tourist hotel unit use in the subject building subsequent to November 23, 1979 in violation of the San Francisco Residential Hotel Unit Conversion, Demolition and Change in Use Ordinance, or such other ordinance or law as may be applicable to the conversion of such units at the time of such conversion.

Any owner found ineligible for any of the above reasons may not reapply for a loan under this chapter for a period of eighteen (18) months from the date of final denial. Each loan applicant shall be required as part of the application process to sign an affidavit swearing under penalty of perjury that he or she has not engaged in any of the prohibited practices specified herein during the twelve months preceding the date of application.

(c) In any year in which the Chief Administrative Officer

determines that in the next succeeding year funds will not be available to fund all applications meeting the program criteria in a timely fashion, priority shall be given to those buildings inspected pursuant to Section 40.8, and second priority to those buildings qualifying under Section 40.9 in which 50 percent or more of the units, as shown in the loan application, are such that the units meet the definition of "low income housing stock" in Chapter 13 (Subdivision Code) of the Municipal Code of the City and County of San Francisco.

(d) Any owner who is denied a loan by the Chief Administrative Officer on the grounds that the owner does not meet eligibility requirements may appeal the decision to the Loan Committee. Any tenant of a building for which approval has been granted may appeal the approval on grounds of ineligibility under subdivision (b) of this Section. The Loan Committee shall review the application for a loan and make a recommendation regarding approval or denial to the Chief Administrative Officer. In reviewing the application, the Loan Committee shall give due consideration to the need for the loan to be made in order to accomplish the purposes of the program, the risks to the City of granting the loan, and the ability of the property to support the loan as well as the reasons for approval or denial of the application by the Chief Administrative Officer. If the Chief Administrative Officer does not accept the recommendation of the Loan Committee, he or she shall give written reasons for the refusal to approve or deny the loan.

ARTICLE VI

FINANCING LIMITATIONS

SEC.40.17. Maximum Indebtedness on Property

Outstanding loans on the property to be rehabilitated, including the amount of the loan for rehabilitation, shall not exceed eighty percent of the anticipated after-rehabilitation value of the property to be rehabilitated, as determined by the Chief Administrative Officer, except that the Chief Administrative Officer may authorize loans of up to ninety-five percent (95%) of the anticipated after-rehabilitation value of the property if:

(a) Such loans are made for the purpose of rehabilitating the property for residential purpose;

(b) There is demonstrated need for such higher limit; and

(c) There is a high probability that the value of the property will not be impaired during the term of the loan.

SEC. 40.18. Maximum Amount of Loan

The loan shall be made only for the purpose of meeting rehabilitation standards and the maximum amounts shall be as follows: Three units, $10,000 per unit; four or more units, $7,500 per unit; guest rooms, as defined in Sec. 203.7 of the Housing Code, $2,500 per unit. The Chief Administrative Officer may approve a loan in excess of these amounts following guidelines established by the Chief Administrative Officer only where such excess financing will result in the creation of

additional housing units by making habitable a multi-family building which has been abandoned or vacated for a period of one year prior to the date of application, or by the conversion of a multi-family building or portion thereof from commercial use to non-commercial use; provided that in no case may the loan exceed $17,500 per unit for dwelling units and $11,500 per unit for guest rooms.

SEC. 40.19. Limitation Based on Fair Market Value of Work

(a) Prior to the granting of any loan over $20,000 under this chapter, a qualified estimator hired by the borrower will make an on-premises inspection of the applicant's property and certify, in writing, the fair market value of the recommended work as detailed in the job specifications.

(b) Where loan is under $20,000 and low bid exceeds estimate of building inspector by 10%, the borrower will hire a qualified estimator to certify, in writing, the fair market value of the work as detailed in the job specifications.

(c) A qualified estimator is a person:

(i) Who is not a City employee; but

(ii) Who is approved by the Chief Administrative Officer because he or she is qualified and experienced in the area of residential rehabilitation.

The estimator shall operate under the direction of the Director of the Real Estate Department.

(d) No loan will be granted in an amount exceeding one hundred ten percent (110%) of the fair market value of the

recommended work as specified in the job specifications as certified in writing by a qualified estimator, or higher than the lowest bid received, whichever is less, without the approval of the Chief Administrative Officer.

(e) The Chief Administrative Officer shall, semi-annually, direct a report to the Board of Supervisors setting forth a list of the loans which were in excess of one hundred ten percent of fair market value pursuant to the provisions of subdivision (d) giving the reasons for approval in each case.

ARTICLE VII

TERMS OF LOANS

SEC. 40.20. Maximum Repayment Period for Loan; Initiation of Payments After Rehabilitation

(a) The maximum repayment period for a HELP loan shall be twenty years or three-fourths of the economic life of the property, whichever is less.

(b) Subject to budgetary and fiscal limitations, and approval of the Chief Administrative Officer, payments on a HELP loan may not be required to commence prior to completion of the improvements for which such loan is made; provided that payments shall begin no later than six months after an initial disbursement from proceeds of the loan. The monthly payment due under the loan shall be adjusted to insure repayment of the principal and interest due on the loan within the time required

by paragraph (a) of this section.

SEC. 40.21. Security for Loan

Unless provided otherwise in any bond resolution issued pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, every HELP loan shall be secured by a deed of trust naming the City as beneficiary of the trust.

SEC. 40.22 Impound Account

If the Chief Administrative Officer deems it desirable and necessary to effectuate the purposes of the program that an impound account be required to assure taxes, insurance, or a maintenance reserve, he or she may include such a requirement in any HELP loan agreement.

SEC. 40.23. Transfer of Loans

(a) The unpaid amount of a HELP loan shall be due and payable upon sale or transfer of the ownership of the property, except that assignment of the unpaid amount of such a loan to a purchaser or transferee may be permitted when the Chief Administrative Officer determines that hardship conditions exist and the prospective owner qualifies for a loan on the basis of current loan eligibility standards.

(b) If the holder of a HELP loan is dissatisfied with the Chief Administrative Officer's refusal to permit transfer of the unpaid amount of the loan because of a finding that hardship conditions do not exist, the holder of the loan may request review of the Chief Administrative Officer's determination by the Loan Committee. If the Loan Committee recommends a finding that

hardship conditions exist, the Chief Administrative Officer shall either accept that recommendation or give written reasons for the refusal to accept it.

(c) Hardship conditions exist:

(i) When the owner of property subject to a HELP loan is forced to sell the property and the property cannot be sold without a substantial loss of equity unless the loan is transferable.

(ii) When the income of a prospective purchaser of property subject to a HELP loan is at or below income standards to be established by the Chief Administrative Officer, or

(iii) WHen the prospective purchaser is unable to obtain financing in the private sector because of age, disability or sex; or

(iv) When transfer of the loan is necessary to prevent significant rent increases.

(d) The Chief Administrative Officer shall develop standards which shall be applied in making determinations required under this section.

SEC. 40.24. Interest Rates and Other Loan Charges

The interest rate and any other charges to the borrower for a HELP loan shall be established pursuant to the provisions of sections 40.5 and 40.11, and may include:

(a) the interest charged the City on funds borrowed to carry out the provisions of this chapter;

(b) an amount needed to provide for possible defaults on outstanding loans;

(c) an amount to cover the cost of issuing loans;

(d) an amount to cover the cost of servicing loan accounts;

(e) an amount to cover the costs of issuing bonds.

(f) an amount to cover the costs of the administration of the loans including, but not limited to, loan officer services, title report, and credit report.

SEC. 40.25. Tenant Moving Costs and Right of First Refusal; Rent for Reoccupied Units.

(a) Every loan application for the HELP program shall report the current rents of each unit in the building for which assistance is sought.

(b) In the case of dwelling units which the Superintendent of the Bureau of Building Inspection certifies as dwelling units which must be vacated because of residential rehabilitation to be performed on the structure where they are located with assistance from the HELP program,

(i) The property owner is responsible for paying the reasonable cost of moving expenses only of each low and moderate income household displaced from such a unit; maximum moving expense shall not be in excess of $500;

(ii) Any tenant who must vacate such a dwelling unit shall have the right of first refusal to occupy that unit when rehabilitation of the

property is completed;

(iii) Notwithstanding any other provisions of the San Francisco Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance, or any rules or regulations promulgated in accordance with that ordinance, and notwithstanding the provisions of any successor ordinance or law regulating rent increases which is in effect at the time the HELP loan is made, the rent charged to any tenant who next occupies such a unit following rehabilitation may not exceed the rent which could be charged a reoccupying tenant under the terms of such ordinance or law in effect at the time the HELP loan is made (the prior rent adjusted in accordance with Section 37.9(a)(11) of Chapter 37 of the San Francisco Administrative Code or comparable provisions of the ordinance in effect at the time the HELP loan is made), and for purposes of section 37.9(a)(11) the rehabilitation cost which is permitted to be passed on as a rent increase to any tenant who chooses to occupy a dwelling unit after rehabilitation of the property has been completed shall be amortized over the original amortization period of the HELP loan.

(iv) The property owner shall give each tenant living in such a unit written notice thirty days prior to the date the tenant must vacate of the

right to have no more than $500 of the reasonable cost of moving the household paid, if the household qualifies as a low and moderate income household, and of the right to first refusal to re-occupy the unit at the prior rent adjusted in accordance with Section 37.9(a)(11) of Chapter 37 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, or successor provision, as modified in clause (iii) above; and A copy of the notice specified in clause shall be forwarded to the Chief Administrative Officer.

(c) The requirements of subdivision (b) shall be included in the terms of each HELP loan agreement.

(d) The anticipated cost of moving households affected by residential rehabilitation may be included in the property owner's loan.

(e) The determinations of qualification as a low and moderate income household and of the amount of moving expenses due a tenant shall be made by the Central Relocation Service of the Mayor's Office using the standard schedule for such computation.

SEC. 40.26. Open Housing

All HELP loan agreements shall provide that so long as the loan or any portion of it is outstanding the property shall be open, upon sale or rental of all or any portion thereof, to all persons regardless of race, sex, marital status, color, religion, national origin or ancestry.

SEC. 40.27. Equal Employment Opportunity

All HELP loan agreements shall provide that all contracts and subcontracts let for residential rehabilitation financed under this chapter are to be let without regard to the race, sex, marital status, color, religion, national origin or ancestry of the contractor or subcontractor. Further, all HELP loan agreements shall provide that any contractor or subcontractor engaged in residential rehabilitation financed under this chapter must agree to provide equal opportunity for employment without regard to race, sex, marital status, color, religion, national origin or ancestry.

SEC. 40.28. Enforcement of Loan Provisions

The provisions of Section 40.26 and the provisions of Section 40.27 as they relate to enforcement of nondiscrimination on the basis of race, sex, marital status, color, religion, national origin or ancestry, are enforceable by the Human Rights Commission. The enforcement powers, responsibilities and procedures of the Human Rights Commission set forth in Chapters 12A and 12B of the San Francisco Administrative Code shall be applicable to carry out the Commission's responsibilities under this chapter.

ARTICLE VIII

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SEC. 40.29. Limitations on Conversions.

(a) HELP loans are intended to be used only for the

purpose of maintaining eligible multi-family buildings for their current use. No HELP loan may be used for rehabilitation for the purpose of conversion of a multi-family building, or portion thereof, to a condominium; for conversion to a tourist hotel unit, as defined in the Residential Hotel Unit Conversion Demolition and Change in Use Ordinance; or for conversion to commercial use; nor may any owner cause any such conversion while the loan is still outstanding.

(b) No building, or portion thereof, may be converted to such condominium, tourist hotel unit, or commercial use for a period of five years following the recording of a HELP loan on the building, nor for a period of five years following the assumption of such a HELP loan within the first five-year period, whether intentionally or unintentionally, and whether by the original borrower or a successor in interest. To assure notice and enforceability of this requirement as affects successors in interest, no HELP loan shall be made without the recording of deed restrictions as provided in this paragraph.

(c) Every HELP loan agreement shall include agreement to comply with subdivisions (a) and (b) of this Section.

(d) No city agency may approve any building permit or subdivision map which would permit violation of this section.

(e) Every borrower, or successor obligor, of a HELP loan shall annually file with the Bureau of Building Inspection an affidavit swearing under penalty of perjury that no conversion prohibited by this section has occurred during the preceding year.

(f) Except as provided in subdivision (b) of this Section, nothing in this section shall prevent conversions of multi-family buildings assisted under the program following repayment of the entire balance due on the HELP loan.

Sec. 40.30. Relocation Assistance

(a) In addition to moving costs, a tenant displaced because of rehabilitation financed pursuant to this chapter of the dwelling unit or building in which the tenant lives may be eligible for relocation assistance under the City's Special Rent Assistance Program under the Central Relocation Service of the Mayor's Office.

(b) If state or federal funds are available for relocation assistance, such funds shall be provided to eligible recipients pursuant to state law. If such funds are available, the Chief Administrative Officer shall notify all owners and tenants of buildings rehabilitated with assistance of financing issued pursuant to this chapter of the availability of various types of relocation benefits, the eligibility requirements for relocation benefits and the procedures for obtaining relocation benefits; and the terms and conditions under which the relocation costs shall be reimbursed to the City by the owner.

(c) Current and continuing information on the availability and cost of comparable housing and comparable commercial properties and locations will be maintained and available to the public at the Central Relocation Services Office;

(d) Information concerning Federal and State housing

programs, disaster loan and other programs administered by the Small Business Administration, and other Federal or State programs offering assistance to displaced persons, will be available at the Central Relocation Services Office; and

(e) Persons who believe that they have been discriminated against in the rehousing process will be referred to the Human Rights Commission for either action or referral to the appropriate law enforcement agencies.

(f) Central Relocation Services shall be responsible for administration of any relocation benefits provided pursuant to this section.

SEC. 40.31. Private Cause of Action.

Any tenant of any dwelling unit benefitted by any HELP loan whose rent may be increased, who may be displaced, or who may otherwise be injured by any violation of any term of the HELP loan agreement shall be entitled to institute a private action:

(a) to enjoin continued violation of the HELP loan agreement;

(b) to recover any actual damages suffered as well as costs and attorneys fees.

SEC. 40.32. Review.

This ordinance shall be reviewed by the Board of Supervisors within two (2) years of the date on which it takes effect. At such time the Board of Supervisors shall conduct hearings regarding the continuation, revision, or termination of the program and as to whether this chapter should continue in

effect, be amended, or be repealed.

Sec. 40.33. Construction and Effect of Chapter

The provisions of this chapter, being necessary for the welfare of the City and County of San Francisco and its inhabitants, shall be liberally construed to effect its purposes.

SEC. 40.34. Severability

If any provision of this chapter, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of the chapter and the applicability of such provisions to other persons and circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

0217B/
002B

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 6 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson,~~ Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Bowman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch, Kopp,~~ Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne, Silver,~~ Walker, ~~Ward.~~

Noes: Supervisors KOPP

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE SILVER WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Bowman Clerk

378-80
File No.

OCT 17 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 45-80-42

ORDINANCE NO. 483-80

RO 31

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JAMES GREENE AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $6,000.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle Superior Court Action No. 729 516, entitled "JAMES GREENE Plaintiff, vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a municipal corporation, Defendants," by the payment of $6,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By David Goldman
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

THE POLICE COMMISSION

By Willie E. Frazier
Secretary

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Fauvre
Controller

AUG 27 1980

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari~~, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH

G H Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman Clerk

45-80-42
File No.

OCT 24 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 70 00 73

ORDINANCE NO. 484-80
RO 35

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF MICHAEL CARBONE, ET AL., VS. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $20,000.00.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled "MICHAEL CARBONE, et al. vs. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO," Superior Court Action No. 693-915, by payment of $20,000.00.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By _____ Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

THE POLICE COMMISSION

_____ Secretary

FUNDS AVAILABLE: 309138

_____ Controller

AUG 28 1980

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV 17 1980

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH

_____ Clerk

OCT 24 1980

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

_____ Clerk

45-80-43
File No.

Approved

_____ Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. 405-80

Ro # 43

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF TAX REFUND LITIGATION BROUGHT AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BY A. M. CASTLE & CO.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled A. M. CASTLE & CO. v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Superior Court No. 736-380 and 719-222, by payment of the following refunds:

Amount of Installment	Interest at 6% Runs From
$ 20,138.14	November 5, 1976
21,346.42	November 10, 1977
$ 41,484.56	

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV 17 1980

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH

G.H. Boerman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boerman Clerk

45-80-45
File No.

OCT 24 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-80-46

ORDINANCE NO. 456-80

RO#45

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF TAX REFUND LITIGATION BROUGHT AGAINST CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BY COAST WHOLESALE MUSIC COMPANY.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized to settle the action entitled COAST WHOLESALE MUSIC COMPANY v. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, Superior Court No. 719-299, by payment of the following refunds:

Amount of Installment	Interest at 6% Runs From
$ 4,695.27	November 8, 1976
4,976.98	November 10, 1977
5,258.70	November 1, 1978
$14,930.95	

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV 17 1980

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

J. K. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

J. K. Boeman Clerk

45-80-46
File No.

OCT 24 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE
SCHOOL MONTEREY

THESIS

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY

FILE NO. 46-80-4 ORDINANCE NO. 487-80

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO V. BENJAMIN GLEN EVERS UPON THE RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $25,000.00 AND AUTHORIZING DISMISSAL OF SAID LITIGATION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle S. F. Superior Court No. 761-929 entitled "City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff vs. Benjamin Glen Evers, et al., Defendant, by the acceptance of the sum of $25,000.00.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $25,000.00, the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV 17 1980

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

46-80-4
File No.

OCT 24 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 46-80-5 ORDINANCE NO. 488-80

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF ODESSA PARKER AND THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO V. WESTINGHOUSE UPON THE RECEIPT OF THE SUM OF $3,554.31 AND AUTHORIZING DISMISSAL OF SAID LITIGATION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle San Mateo Superior Court No. 227297 entitled "Odessa Parker and the City and County of San Francisco, Plaintiff vs. Westinghouse, Defendant", by the acceptance of the sum of $3,554.31.

Section 2. Upon receipt of said sum of $3,554.31, the City Attorney is authorized to dismiss said complaint.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

46-80-5
File No.

OCT 24 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 96-80-8 ORDINANCE NO. 489-80

AUTHORIZING SALE OF SURPLUS CITY-OWNED LOT 75 IN ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 4093, SAN FRANCISCO.

Be It Ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, the Board of Supervisors hereby declares that the public interest or necessity will not be inconvenienced by the sale of Lot 75 in Assessor's Block 4093 to the respective adjoining property owners.

Section 2. Said property is no longer required for municipal purposes and as reported by the City Planning Commission, the sale of Lot 75 is in conformity with the Master Plan provided it is partitioned generally as shown on attached Drawing, RE 1-80, dated August 12, 1980, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the Board of Supervisors and sold to the owners of the adjoining properties and merged with same, and provided that the prospective buyers are advised that at no time will use of the land be permitted which requires a variance from any City code or ordinance.

Section 3. Pursuant to Section 7.401 of the City Charter, the Director of Property has made appraisal of the value of said parcels and the respective adjoining owners have offered to buy said parcels for the sum indicated, which sums represent 100 percent of the appraised value of said parcels as follows:

Parcels 1 and 7. Containing approximately 1513 square feet more or less to the owner of adjoining Lots 46, 78 and 79, Value - $2,700.00

Parcel 3. Containing approximately 192 square feet, more or less to the owner of adjoining Lot 26, value - $500.00

Parcel 4. Containing approximately 552 square feet, more or less, to the owner of adjoining Lot 27, value - $7,500.00

Parcel 5. Containing approximately 477 square feet, more or less, to the owner of adjoining Lot 28, reserving to City the abutter's rights of access to Vermont Street, Value - $500.00.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Parcel 6. Containing approximately 553 square feet, more or less, to the owner of adjoining Lot 29, value - $1,500.00

Section 4. The offers of said adjoining owners are hereby accepted and the Mayor and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors are authorized to execute and the Director of Property shall deliver deeds to said adjoining owners upon receipt of each respective purchase price.

FORM APPROVED:
GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

By McMorris M. Dow
Deputy City Attorney

APPROVED:

Wallace Hartman
Director of Property

RECOMMENDED:

Director of Public Works

Kevil R. Smith
Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

96-80-8
File No.

OCT 24 1980
Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-9 ORDINANCE NO. 490-80

RO# 14

APPROPRIATING $26,015 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES, RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-229	Concession Fund		
G.L. 490 (004077)	Unappropriated Revenue	$26,015	
42-02-01	Recreation and Park - Administrative		
49-4990 (347673)	Revenue Transfer Out to #42-02-01 Recreation and Park Administrative - #01-001 General Fund		$26,015
01-001	General Fund		
42-02-01	Recreation and Park - Administrative		
99-9990 (168724)	Revenue Transfer In from #42-02-01 Recreation and Park Administrative - #02-229 Concession Fund	$26,015	
10-1001 (376988)	Professional and Special Services		$26,015

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

G.H. Dorman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Dorman Clerk

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

101-80-9 File No.

OCT 24 1980 Approved

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 101-80-13 ORDINANCE NO. 491-80

RO#21

APPROPRIATING $8,000 FOR OTHER MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES, RECREATION AND PARK DEPARTMENT FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

[illegible]	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
02-229	Concession Fund		
G.L. 490 (004077)	Unappropriated Revenue	$8,000	
42-02-01	Recreation and Park - Administration/Business Office - Administrative		
49-4900 (851261)	Revenue Transfer Out to #42-03-02 Recreation and Park - Park - Golden Gate Park - #02-231 - Lake Merced Fish Restocking Fund		$8,000
02-231	Lake Merced Fish Restocking Fund		
42-03-02	Recreation and Park - Park - Golden Gate Park		
99-9990 (181933)	Revenue Transfer In from #42-02-01 - Recreation and Park - Administration/Business Office - Administrative - #02-229 Concession Fund	$8,000	
11-1399 (372243)	Other Materials and Supplies		$8,000

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: David L. Kronprich
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C Farrell
CONTROLLER

AUG 12 1980

RECOMMENDED:

Reynold R. Sullivan
Mayor

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

G H Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman Clerk

101-80-13
File No.

OCT 24 1980
OCT 24 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

MAR 1 1 1980

DISCHARGED

FILE NO. 101-80-33 ORDINANCE NO. 492-80

RO#60

APPROPRIATING $140,000 FOR PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES AND AUDITING AND ACCOUNTING, CONTROLLER, FINANCIAL DIVISION FOR F/Y 1980-81.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
08-030	Self Insurance Fund		
G.L. 495 (021394)	Committment of Fund Balance	$140,000	
09-20-78	Controller - General City Responsibilities - Unemployment Insurance 78-79		
46-4690 (872473)	Equity Transfer to General Fund, #99-00-00 General Fund Unallocated		$140,000
	Total	$140,000	$140,000
01-001	General Fund		
99-00-00	General Fund Unallocated		
99-9990 (195271)	Equity Transfer from #08-030 Self Insurance Fund (Unemployment Insurance) #09-20-78 - Controller General City Responsibilities - Unemployment Insurance 78-79	$140,000	
09-01-00	Controller - Financial Division		
10-1001 (309047)	Professional and Special Services		$ 15,000
10-1262 (309047)	Auditing and Accounting		125,000
	Total	$140,000	$140,000

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE P. AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: John J. Taheny Jr.
Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE:

John C. Farrell
CONTROLLER

RECOMMENDED:

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE

Gil Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP WARD
EXCUSED RENNE

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Gil Boeman Clerk

101-80-33
File No.

OCT 21 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 101-80-47 ORDINANCE NO. 445-80

RO# 79-80

APPROPRIATING $84,000 FOR TEMPORARY SALARIES AND RESCINDING $84,000 FROM OTHER CURRENT EXPENSES, REGISTRAR OF VOTERS FOR F/Y 1980-81; AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Funds are hereby appropriated for F/Y 1980-81 as follows:

DEPARTMENT AND NUMBER	SOURCE OF FUNDS AND PURPOSE OF APPROPRIATION	AMOUNT DEBIT	AMOUNT CREDIT
01-001	General Fund		
80-00-00	Registrar of Voters		
11-1299 (430017)	Other Current Expenses	$84,000	
01-0200 (430017)	Temporary Salaries		$84,000

Section 2. This ordinance is passed as an emergency measure and the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare by the vote by which this ordinance is passed that an actual emergency exists and that this ordinance be made effective forthwith, the nature of the emergency being the uninterrupted operation of the Registrar of Voters.

Without these funds, it would be impossible to conduct the statewide general election on November 4, 1980 as required by Section #2550 of the Elections Code and to process the absentee ballots as required by Sections 1002 and 1008 of the Elections Code.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed as an emergency measure—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, ~~Molinari~~, Renne, Silver, ~~Walker~~, Ward.

~~Walker~~

Absent: Supervisors MOLINARI WALKER

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

101-80-47 File No.

OCT 24 1980 Approved

Mayor

FILE NO. 401-80-1 ORDINANCE NO. 494-80

AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE SECTION 10.157, CASH REVOLVING FUND, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, AUTHORIZING THE CONTROLLER TO TEMPORARILY TRANSFER $100,000 FROM THE CURRENT APPROPRIATION FOR AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN (AFDC), AND $200,000 FROM THE CURRENT APPROPRIATION FOR GENERAL ASSISTANCE, TO MAKE POSSIBLE THE MEETING OF EMERGENCY NEEDS.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Section 10.157 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 10.157. Same - Department of Social Services: $6,000.00.

The Controller is hereby authorized to temporarily transfer $100,000 from the current appropriation for Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), and $200,000 from the current appropriation for General Assistance, to a separate revolving fund to provide funds for immediate need checks for welfare cases, instead of food, rent, commodity and cash emergency orders, and for the replacement of mutilated checks. Any funds remaining in said revolving fund account shall be returned to the AFDC and General Assistance appropriations at the end of each fiscal year. If the need continues, however, the Controller may temporarily transfer, not to exceed $100,000 and $200,000, from subsequent fiscal year AFDC and General Assistance appropriations, respectively, in the same manner.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors HUTCH RENNE WARD

G.H. Foreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp~~, Lawson, Molinari, ~~Renne~~, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors KOPP RENNE WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Foreman Clerk

401-80-1 File No.

OCT 24 1980 Approved

Dianne Feinstein Mayor

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

FILE NO. 382-80-1 ORDINANCE NO. 495-80

APPROVING AGREEMENT TO BE ENTERED INTO BY THE RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION FOR OPERATING A NURSERY SCHOOL AT THE LAUREL HILL PLAYGROUND CLUBHOUSE, LOCATED AT EUCLID AND COLLINS STREETS, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission and the Parents Playgroup Cooperative School for operating a nursery school at the Laurel Hill Playground Clubhouse located at Euclid and Collins Streets, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

G. H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G. H. Boreman Clerk

382-80-1 OCT 24 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 382-80-2 ORDINANCE NO. 496-80

APPROVING AGREEMENT TO BE ENTERED INTO BY THE RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION FOR OPERATING A NURSERY SCHOOL AT THE SILVER TREE DAY CAMP RECREATION BUILDING, LOCATED IN GLEN CANYON.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission and the Glenridge Cooperative Nursery School for operating a nursery school at the Silver Tree Day Camp Recreation Building, located in Glen Canyon, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch,~~ Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor HUTCH

G.H. Boreman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~

~~Noes: Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisor WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boreman Clerk

382-80-2 OCT 24 1980
File No. Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 382-80-3 ORDINANCE NO. 497-80

APPROVING AGREEMENT TO BE ENTERED INTO BY THE RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSION FOR OPERATING A NURSERY SCHOOL AT THE PEIXOTTO PLAYGROUND CLUBHOUSE LOCATED AT 15th and BEAVER STREETS, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. That certain agreement between the Recreation and Park Commission and the Rocky Mountain Cooperative Nursery School for operating a nursery school at the Peixotto Playground Clubhouse, located at 15th and Beaver Streets, a copy of which agreement is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and to which reference is hereby made, is hereby approved.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 14 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, ~~Hutch~~, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, Ward.
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors HUTCH
E.M. Gorman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980
Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward.~~
~~Noes: Supervisors~~
Absent: Supervisors WARD
I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.
E.M. Gorman Clerk

382-80-3 File No.
OCT 24 1980 Approved
Dianne Feinstein Mayor

FILE NO. 126-79-3 ORDINANCE NO. 498-80

EXTENDING PROHIBITION AGAINST TEMPORARY APPROVAL OF PERMITS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF AMUSEMENTS, PLACES OF ENTERTAINMENT, DANCE HALLS, CABARETS, RESTAURANTS, FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS, HOTELS, AND BATH HOUSES ON THOSE ELEVEN BLOCKS BOUNDED ON THE EAST BY THE WEST SIDE OF LARKIN STREET, ON THE WEST BY THE EAST SIDE OF VAN NESS AVENUE, ON THE NORTH BY BROADWAY STREET AND ON THE SOUTH BY THE NORTH SIDE OF GEARY STREET UNTIL MARCH 1, 1981.

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are underlined; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Section 1. Ordinance No. 92-80, Section 4, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Section 4. This ordinance shall expire ((by its own terms six (6) months after its effective date,)) March 1, 1981, unless sooner terminated or extended by action of the Board of Supervisors.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
GEORGE AGNOST, CITY ATTORNEY

By: (see file for signature)
Deputy City Attorney

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

~~Absent Supervisors~~ .....

.....

G H Boreman ..... Clerk

126-79-3 ..... OCT 29 1980
File No. Approved

.....

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G H Boreman ..... Clerk

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

FILE NO. 45-80-27 ORDINANCE NO. 477-80

RO#59

AUTHORIZING SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION OF JORGE LUIS FREYTES AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO FOR $668,800.75.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The City Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to settle Superior Court Action No. 703-598 entitled "Jorge Luis Freytes v. City and County of San Francisco, et al.," by the payment of $668,800.75.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND RECOMMENDED:

GEORGE AGNOST, City Attorney

Deputy City Attorney

FUNDS AVAILABLE 309138

John C Farrell

Controller SEP 29 1980

RECOMMENDED:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Director

APPROVED:

Kevil R. Smith

for Chief Administrative Officer

DOCUMENTS DEPT.

NOV 17 1980

SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WARD

Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco

OCT 27 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, Kopp, Lawson, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~

~~None Supervisors~~

Absent: Supervisors WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

Clerk

45-80-49
File No.

OCT 29 1980
Approved

Mayor

ORDINANCE NO. [illegible]

AMENDING THE SAN FRANCISCO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY REPEALING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE VI THEREOF AND RE-ENACTING CHAPTER 5, ARTICLE VI, TO ESTABLISH A COMMISSION ON THE AGING AND PRESCRIBING THE POWERS AND DUTIES THEREOF, ESTABLISHING AN ADVISORY COUNCIL TO THE COMMISSION, DESIGNATING THE COMMISSION AS THE AREA AGENCY ON AGING PURSUANT TO FEDERAL LAW; PROVIDING FOR TERMINATION DATE.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Chapter 5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by repealing Article VI thereof.

Section 2. Chapter 5 of the San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by re-enacting Article VI thereof to read as follows:

Article VI. Commission on the Aging

Section 5.50. Definitions.

(a) Commission. The Commission on the Aging.

(b) Council. The Advisory Council.

(c) Member. A member of the Commission on the Aging.

Section 5.51. Establishment of Commission; Appointment; Terms; Executive Director; Meetings; Compensation.

(a) There is hereby established a commission to be known as the Commission on the Aging (hereinafter called "commission") consisting of 7 members. Members shall be appointed by the mayor and shall serve at the pleasure of the mayor.

(b) The commission shall at all times consist of at least 5 members who have reached the age of 60. Each member shall have a demonstrated knowledge of the problems and needs of the elderly and shall reflect the geographic and ethnic populations of San Francisco.

DOCUMENTS DEPT.
NOV 17 1980
SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

(c) Members shall serve 4-year terms. The terms of the members of the commission shall expire as follows: 2 on January 16, 1982, 2 on Janaury 15, 1983, 2 on January 15, 1984 and 1 on January 15, 1985. The term of each member shall be determined by drawing lots at the first meeting of the commission. All vacancies occurring during a term shall be filled by the mayor for the unexpired term.

(d) The position of director shall be established pursuant to and subject to Charter Sections 3.500(h) and 8.200. The director shall be appointed by a majority vote of the members. All staff personnel shall be under the immediate direction and supervision of the director.

(e) The date, place and time of meetings shall be determined by rules adopted by the commission; provided, however, that the commission shall hold a regular meeting not less than once each month. The commission may hold special meetings in accordance with Charter Section 3.500(g). All meetings, whether regular or special, shall be open and public except as otherwise provided by general law.

(f) Subject to the budgetary and fiscal limitations of the Charter, each member shall be paid $25.00 per commission meeting or committee meeting attended. Total compensation shall not exceed $150.00 per month.

Section 5.52. Commission Designated Area Agency on Aging. Pursuant to federal law, the commission is hereby designated the Area Agency on Aging for the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5.53. Powers and Duties of the Commission. In addition to powers under the Charter, the commission shall have the following powers and duties:

(a) To develop, as the Area Agency on Aging, policy goals

for the City and County of San Francisco in the form of an Area Plan as specified by federal regulations. Such plan is to be subject to the review and approval of the mayor and the board of supervisors;

(b) To provide a comprehensive and coordinated service delivery system for senior citizens through the implementation and monitoring of the Area Plan pursuant to federal regulations and plan above by (a) establishing standards and (b) assessing services needed (c) awarding subgrants d) and providing technical assistance and monitoring of service providers under the plan;

(c) To establish an Advisory Council to advise the commission in accordance with federal law and regulations;

(d) To serve as advocate and focal point for Senior Citizens' Programs;

(e) To review and comment upon any program or service designed specifically for senior citizens which is proposed by any department or agency of the city and county. It shall be the duty of all officers, employees, boards and commissions of the city and county, prior to implementing such programs or services, to transmit complete and accurate descriptions of said programs or services to the commission. The commission shall send a report of such review to the mayor and board of supervisors and to the appropriate departments. The commission shall specify in its by laws criteria to be used for such report. A report shall be made no later than 21 calendar days after the commission has received the descriptions. Effective 3 months after the effective date of this article, departments of the City and County of San Francisco shall be prohibited from implementing any program or service designed specifically for senior citizens prior to the issuance of the report specified herein;

(f) To make an annual report to the board of supervisors regarding the accomplishments of the commission and the council in terms of service delivery and coordination and development of senior resources in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 5.54. Advisory Council. The commission shall establish an Advisory Council ("council"), not to exceed 22 members, 11 of whom shall be appointed by the commission and 11 appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The council shall [illegible] representative of the geographic and ethnic populations of the City and County of San Francisco by districts, which districts shall be determined by the commission. More than 50% of the members of each such group of 11 members shall be persons [illegible] 60 years of age or older. The council shall include service providers, older persons with the greatest socio and economic need, consumers, and others specified by federal regulation. Council members shall collect all appropriate information from their districts in order to provide the commission with advice in the commission's decision-making on the needs, assessments, priorities, programs and budgets concerning older San Franciscans. Where not inconsistent with Federal or State laws or regulations, each member of the advisory council shall organize and head within the district in which he resides, a district council comprised of senior citizens. The president of the commission shall designate council members to serve as non-voting members with commission members on all commission committees. Pursuant to federal regulations, the commission shall develop and adopt by-laws for the council. Said bylaws shall specify the role and functions of the council, number of members, procedures for selecting members, term of membership, and the frequency of meetings. The advisory council shall meet

[illegible] at least 12 times per year. The council shall be compensated in [illegible] as the commission for the advisory council meetings and commission committee meetings at which they serve.

Section 5.55 Mayor's Report to Board of Supervisors. The mayor shall transmit a written evaluation of the effectiveness and achievements of the commission to the board of supervisors no later than January 31, 1982. The evaluation shall include recommendations with respect to any necessary changes in the operation of the commission and shall recommend that the commission either be continued or terminated.

Section 5.56. Termination. This article shall terminate on June 30, 1985.

Passed for Second Reading
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 20 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP LAWSON

~~Absent: Supervisors~~

G.H. Boeman Clerk

Read Second Time and Finally Passed
Board of Supervisors, San Francisco
OCT 27 1980

Ayes: Supervisors Bardis, Britt, Horanzy, Hutch, ~~Kopp, Lawson~~, Molinari, Renne, Silver, Walker, ~~Ward~~.

Noes: Supervisors KOPP LAWSON

Absent: Supervisors WARD

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

G.H. Boeman Clerk

75-80-4
File No.

OCT 29 1980
Approved

Dianne Feinstein
Mayor

1352 8

Utica/Omaha, Ne
Houchen
Bindery Ltd
2012